arXiv:1610.04819v1 [math.NT] 16 Oct 2016

WEIGHT ELIMINATION IN SERRE-TYPE CONJECTURES

DANIEL LE, BAO V. LE HUNG, AND BRANDON LEVIN

Abstract. We prove the weight elimination direction of the Serre weight conjectures as formulated by [Her09] for forms of $U(n)$ which are compact at infinity and split at places dividing p in generic situations. That is, we show that all modular weights for a mod p Galois representation are contained in the set predicted by Herzig. Under some additional hypotheses, we also show modularity of all the "obvious" weights.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Acknowledgements 5
1.2. Notation and Conventions 5
2. Background 7
2.1. Affine Weyl group 7
2.2. Tame types and Serre weights 9
2.3. Inertial local Langlands 11
3. Local results 12
3.1. Étale ϕ-modules 12
3.2. Semisimple Kisin modules 13
3.3. Genericity conditions 18
3.4. Potential diagonalizability 19
4. Main results 23
4.1. Combinatorics of weights and types 23
4.2. Weight elimination 25
4.3. Modularity of "obvious" Serre weights 27
4.4. An application to a local reduction problem 29
References 31

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime. In 1973, Serre conjectured that every irreducible odd 2-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-representation $\overline{r}$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ comes from a modular form. He later refined the conjecture into the strong form which asserts that every such $\overline{r}$ arises from a modular form of a specific minimal weight and prime to p level determined by the local properties of $\overline{r}$ [Ser87] . The recipe for the minimal weight is more subtle than the minimal level, and, as Serre suggested at the time, reflects the deeper structures of a "mod p Langlands philosophy." The landmark proof of Serre's original conjecture due to Kisin and Khare–Wintenberger relies crucially on knowing that the weak form implies the strong form.

The first comprehensive conjecture for Hilbert modular forms is due to Buzzard–Diamond–Jarvis (BDJ) [BDJ10]. The weight $k \geq 2$ is replaced by the notion of *Serre weights*, irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in this case. Furthermore, there is no longer a notion of minimal weight, rather, BDJ define a collection of Serre weights for which a given $\overline{r}$ should be modular. The weight part (weak $\implies$ strong) of the BDJ conjecture is now a theorem due to work of many people [GK14, New14, GLS14, GLS15].

Building on work of Ash–Doud–Pollack [ADP02] and others, Herzig formulated a vast generalization of the weight part of Serre's conjecture to tame n-dimensional Galois representation which was further extended by Gee–Herzig–Savitt [Her09, GHS]. In our earlier work with S. Morra [LLHLMa, LLHLMb], we establish the weak implies strong conjecture when $n = 3$ in generic situations (and for groups unramified at p). However, results for $n > 3$ were limited to a few partial results [BLGG, GG12, Gao]. In this paper, we establish the weight elimination direction of the weight part of Serre's conjecture for n-dimensional Galois representations in generic situations, namely, the set of modular weights is a subset of the set of weights predicted by [Her09].

To describe these conjectures, let F be an imaginary CM extension unramified at p. Let F^+ be the maximal totally real subfield. Assume $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and that all primes of F^+ above p split in F. Let G be a unitary group over F^+ which is isomorphic to $U(n)$ at each infinite place and split at each prime above p. Let $\overline{r} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be an irreducible odd continuous representation.

A *global Serre weight* is an irreducible representation V of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})$ which are all of the form $\otimes_{v|p} V_v$ with V_v an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k_v)$ where k_v is the residue field of F^+ at v. In Definition 4.2.4, we define what it means for $\overline{r}$ to be *modular* of weight V. Roughly speaking, this means the Hecke eigensystem associated to $\overline{r}$ appearing in a space of automorphic forms for G of weight V. For each place v, fix a place $\widetilde{v}$ of F dividing v, and define $\overline{\rho}_v := \overline{r}|_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_{\widetilde{v}}/F_{\widetilde{v}})}$. We now state the main theorem.

Theorem 1.0.1. *Let $\overline{r} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be an irreducible odd representation. Assume p is unramified in F and that, for all places $v \mid p$ of F^+, $\overline{\rho}_v$ is generic. Then,*

$$\overline{r} \text{ is modular of weight } \otimes_{v|p} V_v \implies V_v \in W^?(\overline{\rho}_v^{\mathrm{ss}}) \text{ for all } v \mid p$$

where $W^?(\overline{\rho}_v^{\mathrm{ss}})$ is defined by [Her09].

The set $W^?(\overline{\rho}_v^{\mathrm{ss}})$ is an explicit collection of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k_v)$ given by a representation-theoretic recipe. [Her09, Conjecture 1.1] predicts that the reverse implication should also be true when $\overline{\rho}_v$ is semisimple for all v (assuming $\overline{r}$ is modular). In fact, we prove a partial converse which shows the modularity of a subset of the predicted weights as discussed below (Theorem 1.0.4).

Remark 1.0.2. (1) When $n = 3$ and p splits completely in F^+, this result is due to [EGH13, HLM, MP, LMP].

(2) When $\overline{\rho}_v$ is not semisimple, there is no explicit conjecture but one expects there to be a strict subset $W^?(\overline{\rho}_v) \subset W^?(\overline{\rho}_v^{\mathrm{ss}})$ which predicts the modular weights. When $n = 3$, this will be taken up in [LLHLMc].

(3) Our methods are purely local and so at least with some technical assumptions a version of Theorem 1.0.1 should hold in other global setups as well, for example, unitary Shimura varieties.

A key feature of the BDJ conjecture and a motivation for the generalizations is the relation between the weight recipe and p-adic Hodge theory properties of the local representation $\overline{\rho}_v$. Let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite unramified extension and consider $\overline{\rho} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. When $n = 2$, the weight recipe is in terms of existence of the crystalline lifts of $\overline{\rho}$ in small (between $[0, p]$) Hodge–Tate weights. In Herzig's conjecture, one expects (at least for $\overline{\rho}$ semisimple) that $W^?(\overline{\rho})$ should also be predicted by the existence of crystalline lifts in small weights (cf. §1.5 or §5 of [GHS] for a detailed discussion). However, the range of "small" Hodge–Tate weights is now $[0, (n-1)p]$ and to determine reductions of n-dimensional crystalline representations in this range is still well-beyond the current technology in p-adic Hodge theory.

We consider another local problem, namely, reductions of tamely crystalline representations with fixed (parallel) Hodge–Tate weights $\eta := (n-1, n-2, \ldots, 0)$. A *tamely crystalline* representation is a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation of G_K which becomes crystalline when restricted to G_L for L/K a tame extension. The descent from L/K is then encoded in a tame inertial type τ. For short, we will call these representations of type (η, τ). For generic τ, we give a complete description of the semisimple Galois representations which are reductions mod p of representations of type (η, τ) (cf. Theorem 3.2.2).

If ρ is a Galois stable lattice in a representation of type (η, τ), then by deep results in integral p-adic Hodge theory due to Kisin [Kis06] building on work of Breuil, one can associate a semilinear algebra object, a Kisin module $\mathfrak{M}$ with Hodge type η and descent data of type τ. A. Caraiani and the third author [CL] construct a moduli stack of Kisin modules $Y^{\eta,\tau}$ with Hodge type $\leq \eta$ and tame inertial type τ. An upper bound on which $\overline{\rho}$ can arise as reductions of representation of type (η, τ) comes from a description of the special fiber of $Y^{\eta,\tau}$. To describe the special fiber, [CL] relates $Y^{\eta,\tau}$ to a local model $M(\eta)$ constructed by Pappas and Zhu [PZ13]. The special fiber of $M(\eta)$ is a closed subscheme of an affine flag variety. The coherence conjecture of Pappas and Rapoport, proved by Zhu [Zhu14], allows [PZ13] to describe the special fiber as a union of affine Schubert cells resolving a deep and long-standing question in the subject.

By classifying mod p Kisin modules of type (η, τ), we arrive at a combinatorial upper bound for the semisimple $\overline{\rho}$ that arise as the reduction of a representation of type (η, τ) in terms of a subset $\mathrm{Adm}(\eta) \subset \widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ of the extended affine Weyl group called the η-admissible elements originally introduced by Kottwitz and Rapoport. More precisely, we assign to any semisimple $\overline{\rho}$ a relative position $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho}, \tau) \in \widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ called the *shape* (Definition 3.2.9 and 3.2.16). (Technically, the shape is property of a Kisin module with descent data, but in generic situations, there is a unique Kisin module which corresponds to $\overline{\rho}$.)

Theorem 1.0.3. (*Theorem* 4.4.3) *A generic semisimple Galois representation $\overline{\rho}$ has a lift of type τ if and only if $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho}, \tau)^* \in \mathrm{Adm}(\eta)$.*

We first use the "only if" direction of Theorem 1.0.3 to reduce weight elimination (Theorem 1.0.1) to a representation theory/combinatorics problem. For simplicity, assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. If $F(\lambda)$ is a Serre weight for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with p-restricted highest weight λ which is not in $W^?(\overline{\rho})$, one has to exhibit a tame $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$-type $\sigma(\tau)$ such that $F(\lambda)$ is a Jordan–Hölder factor of the reduction $\overline{\sigma}(\tau)$ and $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho}, \tau)$ is not η-admissible. Initially, this might seem daunting since there are many types which contain $F(\lambda)$. In fact, it suffices to consider only the types which "obviously" contain λ, namely, the Deligne–Lusztig representations $R_s(\lambda)$ for $s \in S_n$. Precisely, we show that if for all $s \in S_n$, the shape of $\overline{\rho}$ relative to $R_s(\lambda)$ is η-admissible then $F(\lambda) \in W^?(\overline{\rho})$. The argument uses alcove geometry to relate admissibility to a description of $W^?(\overline{\rho})$ in terms of dominant p-restricted alcoves and linkage due to Herzig. In turn, we use this relationship, together with Theorem 1.0.4 below and global arguments to establish the "if" direction of Theorem 1.0.3.

We now discuss our second main theorem which represents partial progress towards the other direction of the weight part of Serre's conjecture. Among the predicted weights, there is a distinguished subset $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho}) \subset W^?(\overline{\rho})$ called *obvious* Serre weights. Obvious weights are defined precisely in Definition 7.1.3 of [GHS], but roughly correspond to the Hodge–Tate weights (with an η-shift) in which $\overline{\rho}$ has a semisimple crystalline lift. When $n = 2$, there are only obvious weights so $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho})$ is the naive generalization of the weight part of Serre's conjecture. Despite their name, the modularity of these weights is by no means obvious. However, they are more easily accessed via automorphy lifting techniques. For example, [GG12] obtains essentially complete results on modularity of ordinary obvious weights in the ordinary setting. In [BLGG], they prove modularity of the obvious weights when $n = 3$ and get partial results when $n > 3$. Using Theorem 1.0.1 and a generalization of part of [LLHLMa] on potentially crystalline deformation rings, we extend these results to GL_n:

Theorem 1.0.4. *Let $\overline{r} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be an irreducible representation satisfying Taylor-Wiles conditions. Assume p is unramified in F and that, for all places $v \mid p$, $\overline{\rho}_v$ is semisimple and generic. If $\overline{r}$ is modular of any obvious weight, then $\overline{r}$ is modular of all obvious weights.*

We now give an overview of the paper. In §2, we begin with some background on affine Weyl groups, Serre weights, tame types, and inertial local Langlands. In §3, we prove the main local results in p-adic Hodge theory. Sections 3.1-3.3 are direct generalizations to n-dimensional Galois

representations of results of [LLHLMa, LLHLMb] on Kisin modules with descent data. In §3.4, we show, for some special tame types, that the potentially crystalline deformation ring of type (η, τ) is formally smooth and deduce the existence of potentially diagonalizable lifts.

The main theorems appear in §4. Weight elimination is in §4.2. Modularity of the obvious weights is in §4.3, and in §4.4, we complete the proof of the Theorem 1.0.3, the local reduction problem using global input.

1.1. **Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Matthew Emerton, Thomas Haines, Florian Herzig, and Stefano Morra for many helpful conversations. We would also like to thank the Institut Henri Poincaré for their hospitality during part of this project.

1.2. **Notation and Conventions.** Fix $n \geq 2$. Let p be a prime with $p > n$. Fix a finite unramified extension $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree f. Let k denote the residue field of K of cardinality $q = p^f$. Let $\mathcal{O}_K := W(k)$ be the ring of integers of K with Frobenius given by φ. Let $G_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Let I_K denote the inertia subgroup and W_K the Weil group.

Let $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be finite extension assumed to be sufficiently large with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}$. For any k'/k finite, define $S_{k'} = \{\psi : k' \to \mathbb{F}\}$, and let K' denote the unramified extension of K with residue field k'. We fix $\sigma_0 \in S_k$ or equivalently an embedding $\sigma_0 : \mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathcal{O}$. Define $\sigma_j = \sigma_0 \circ \varphi^{-j}$.

For $r \geq 1$, we fix a compatible system of $(p^{rf}-1)$st roots $\varpi_r = (-p)^{\frac{1}{p^{rf}-1}} \in \overline{K}$. The choice of root ϖ_1 defines a character $\omega_{\varpi_1} : I_K \to \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. Using our choice of embedding σ_0, we get a fundamental character of niveau f

$$\omega_f := \sigma_0 \circ \omega_{\varpi_1} : I_K \to \mathcal{O}^\times.$$

We fix once and for all a sequence $\underline{p} := (p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ where $p_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ verify $p_{n+1}^p = p_n$ and $p_0 = -p$. We let $K_\infty := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K(p_n)$ and $G_{K_\infty} := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/K_\infty)$.

Let $\underline{G} = \mathrm{Res}_{k/\mathbb{F}_p}\mathrm{GL}_n$. Let $T \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ be the diagonal torus and $\underline{T} = \mathrm{Res}_{k/\mathbb{F}_p}T$, a maximal torus of $\underline{G}$. Let $W(\underline{G}) = W(\mathrm{GL}_n)^{S_k} \cong S_n^f$ denote Weyl group of $\underline{G}$. Similarly, let $X_*(\underline{T})$ and $X^*(\underline{T})$ be the (geometric) cocharacters and characters of $\underline{T}$ respectively equipped with an action of Frobenius π. Concretely if $\nu = (\nu_j) \in X^*(\underline{T})$, then $\pi(\nu)_j = \nu_{j-1}$. We extend this action to $W(\underline{G})$ by setting $\pi((w_j))_j = w_{j-1}$. Let $R, R^\vee$ denote the set of roots (resp. coroots) of $\underline{G}$. Let Λ_R and Λ_W denote the root and weight lattices for $\mathrm{Res}_{k/\mathbb{F}_p}\mathrm{SL}_n$ respectively.

Let $R^+ \subset R$ (resp. $R^{+,\vee} \subset R^\vee$) denote the set of positive roots (resp. coroots) with respect to the upper triangular Borel subgroup in each embedding. Define dominant (co)characters with respect to this choice of positive roots. Fix an isomorphism $X^*(T) = \mathbb{Z}^n$ using the coordinates given by projection ε_i onto the ith coordinate. We similarly fix an isomorphism $X_*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\eta_0 = (n-1, n-2, \ldots, 0) \in X^*(T)$ be a fixed lift of the half sum of positive roots. Define $\eta = (\eta_0, \eta_0, \ldots, \eta_0) \in X^*(\underline{T})$.

If W is a de Rham representation of G_K over E, then for each $\kappa \in S_k$, we write $\mathrm{HT}_\kappa(W)$ for the multiset of Hodge–Tate weights labelled by embedding κ normalized such that the p-adic

cyclotomic character has Hodge–Tate weight $\{1\}$ for every κ. For $\mu = (\mu_j) \in X_*(\underline{T})$, we say that an n-dimensional representation W has Hodge–Tate weights μ if

$$\mathrm{HT}_{\sigma_j}(W) = \{\mu_{j,1}, \mu_{j,2}, \dots, \mu_{j,n}\}$$

Note that we do not include the shift by half sum of positive roots as in [GHS].

An inertial type is a representation $\tau : I_K \to \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ with open kernel and which extends to W_K. We say that an n-dimensional potentially crystalline representation $\rho : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ has type (μ, τ) if ρ has Hodge–Tate weights μ and the Weil-Deligne representation $\mathrm{WD}(\rho)$ restricted to I_K is isomorphic to τ. Note that this differs from the conventions of [GHS] via a shift by η.

Let $\mathrm{Art}_K : K^\times \to W_K^{\mathrm{ab}}$ denote the Artin map normalized so that uniformizers correspond to geometric Frobenius elements. For τ an inertial type, we use $\sigma(\tau)$ to denote the finite dimensional smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$ associated to τ by the "inertial local Langlands correspondence" (cf. §2.3). In fact, in all situations, $\sigma(\tau)$ will be defined over E.

If V is a representation, then we use $\mathrm{JH}(V)$ to denote the set of Jordan–Hölder factors.

2. Background

2.1. **Affine Weyl group.** Let W_a (resp. $\widetilde{W}$) denote the affine Weyl group and the extended affine Weyl group for GL_n. Similarly, we will use $\underline{W}_a \cong W_a^f$ and $\underline{\widetilde{W}} \cong \widetilde{W}^f$ to denote the (extended) affine Weyl group of $\underline{G}$. Recall that

$$W_a = \Lambda_R \rtimes S_n, \widetilde{W} = X^*(T) \rtimes S_n$$

and similarly for $\underline{W}_a$ and $\underline{\widetilde{W}}$. We use $t_\nu \in \widetilde{W}$ to denote translation by $\nu \in X^*(T)$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the apartment of (GL_n, T) on which $\widetilde{W}$ acts. We fix the dominant base alcove which defines a Bruhat order on W_a which we denote by $\leq$. If Ω is the stabilizer of the base alcove, then $\widetilde{W} = W_a \rtimes \Omega$ and so $\widetilde{W}$ inherits a Bruhat order in the standard way.

We now recall the definition of the admissible set as introduced by Kottwitz and Rapoport:

Definition 2.1.1. Let $\lambda_0 \in X^*(T)$. Then define

$$\mathrm{Adm}(\lambda_0) := \left\{ \widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W} \mid \widetilde{w} \leq t_{w(\lambda_0)} \text{ for some } w \in S_n \right\}.$$

Similarly, if $\lambda = (\lambda_j) \in X^*(\underline{T})$, then define $\mathrm{Adm}(\lambda) = \prod_j \mathrm{Adm}(\lambda_j) \subset \underline{\widetilde{W}}$.

When working on the Galois side, it is natural to work with the dual affine Weyl group $\underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee := X_*(\underline{T}) \rtimes W(\underline{G})$ (similarly $\widetilde{W}^\vee := X_*(T) \rtimes S_n$). We implicitly identify $X_*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \cong X^*(T)$ for the diagonal torus T via $(a_i) \mapsto \sum_i a_i \varepsilon_i$ as in §1.2, and this gives an isomorphism between $\widetilde{W}$ and $\widetilde{W}^\vee$.

Define a Bruhat order on $\widetilde{W}^\vee$ this time using the *antidominant* base alcove. We use $\leq$ to denote this Bruhat order as well. For any cocharacter $\mu \in X_*(\underline{T})$, define the subset $\mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\mu) \subset \underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$ as in Definition 2.1.1.

Definition 2.1.2. Define a bijection $\widetilde{w} \mapsto \widetilde{w}^*$ between $\underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$ and $\underline{\widetilde{W}}$ as follows:

(1) For $w = (w_j) \in W(\underline{G})$, define $w^* := (w_j^*) \in W(\underline{G})$ by $w_j^* = w_{f-1-j}^{-1}$;
(2) For $\nu = (\nu_j) \in X_*(\underline{T})$, define $\nu^* := (\nu_j^*) \in X(\underline{T})$ by $\nu_j^* = \nu_{f-1-j}$ using the identification $X^*(T) \cong X_*(T)$;
(3) For $\widetilde{w} = w t_\nu \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$, define $\widetilde{w}^* \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}$ by $\widetilde{w}^* := t_{\nu^*} w^*$.

Note that $\widetilde{w} \mapsto \widetilde{w}^*$ is an anti-homomorphism which we call the *transpose*.

We now record a few basic lemmas for later.

Lemma 2.1.3. *For $\widetilde{w} \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$, we have $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\mu)$ if and only if $\widetilde{w}^* \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mu^*)$.*

Proof. It suffices to work component by component so we can assume $f = 1$. We want to show that if $\widetilde{w}_1 \leq \widetilde{w}_2$ in $\widetilde{W}^\vee$ then $\widetilde{w}_1^* \leq \widetilde{w}_2^*$ in $\widetilde{W}$. The Bruhat ordering is defined in terms of reduced expressions so write $\widetilde{w}_1 = \prod_{i=1}^d s_{j_i} \tau$ with $j_i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ and for simple reflections s_{j_i} over walls of antidominant base alcove and τ stabilizing the base alcove. Thus,

$$\widetilde{w}_1^* = \tau^* \prod_{i=1}^d s_{j_{d+1-i}}^*.$$

An easy computation shows that τ^* stabilizes the dominant base alcove. We claim that $\prod_{i=1}^{d} s^*_{j_{d+1-i}}$ is a reduced expression in W_a. Let s_0 be the affine simple reflection so that $s^*_j = s_j$ for $j \geq 1$. Concretely, we have $s_0 = (1n)t_{(1,0,\ldots,0,-1)}$ and so $s^*_0 = t_{(1,0,\ldots,0,-1)}(1n)$ which is the affine simple reflection for the dominant base alcove. Thus, $\prod_{i=1}^{d} s^*_{j_{d+1-i}}$ is a product of simple reflections with the order reversed which is again a reduced expression.

Using the same expression for $\widetilde{w}^*_2$, it is easy to see that $\widetilde{w}^*_1 \leq \widetilde{w}^*_2$. The argument in the reverse direction is the same. □

Lemma 2.1.4. *Let λ be a dominant weight. If $t_\nu s \in \mathrm{Adm}(\lambda)$, then*

$$\max\{|\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee\rangle| \mid \alpha^\vee \in R^\vee\} \leq \max\{|\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee\rangle| \mid \alpha^\vee \in R^\vee\}$$

Proof. We reduce immediately to the case $f = 1$. By a result of Kottwitz-Rapoport (cf. Theorem 3.2 in [HC02]), $t_\nu s$ is λ-permissible which says in particular that ν in the convex hull of Weyl group orbit of λ. The claim is that the inequality holds for any ν in the convex hull. For this, we can replace ν with the dominant representative in its Weyl group orbit. Convexity then says that $\nu \leq \lambda$ in the usual Bruhat order on dominant weights. If $\alpha_h^\vee$ is the highest positive coroot, then for any positive coroot α, we have

$$\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee\rangle \leq \langle \nu, \alpha_h^\vee\rangle.$$

It suffices then to observe that $\langle \nu, \alpha_h^\vee\rangle \leq \langle \lambda, \alpha_h^\vee\rangle$ which follows from that fact that $\alpha_h^\vee$ is dominant. □

Recall that *p-alcoves* of $\underline{G}$ are defined to be the connected components of

$$(X^*(\underline{T}) \otimes \mathbb{R}) \backslash \{x \mid \alpha^\vee(x + \eta) = pm\}_{\alpha^\vee \in R^\vee, m \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

An alcove C is called p-restricted if all $\lambda \in C$ satisfy $0 \leq \langle \lambda + \eta, \alpha^\vee\rangle \leq p$ for all simple positive roots $\alpha^\vee$.

Definition 2.1.5. Define the dot action of $\widetilde{\underline{W}}$ on $X^*(\underline{T}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\widetilde{w} \cdot x = (wt_\nu) \cdot x = w(x + \eta + p\nu) - \eta.$$

In the literature, this is often thought of as an action of $W(\underline{G}) \rtimes pX^*(\underline{T})$, but it will be convenient to include the p-scaling in the definition.

Recall that the group $\underline{W}_a$ acts simply transitively on the collection of p-alcoves. Let $\underline{C}_0$ denote the dominant base p-alcove, i.e., the alcove in the dominant Weyl chamber containing $\underline{0}$. For any p-alcove C, we define $\widetilde{w}_C \in \underline{W}_a$ to be the unique element such that $\widetilde{w}_C \cdot \underline{C}_0 = C$.

Definition 2.1.6. Let $\lambda \in X^*(\underline{T})$ be a weight. We say that λ lies m-deep in its alcove if there exists integers $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $pn_\alpha + m < \langle \lambda + \eta, \alpha\rangle < p(n_\alpha + 1) - m$ for all positive coroots $\alpha \in R^{\vee,+}$.

For example, a dominant weight $\lambda = (\lambda_j) \in X^*(\underline{T})$ is m-deep in $\underline{C}_0$ if $m < \langle \lambda_j + \eta_0, \alpha\rangle < p - m$ for all $j = 0, \ldots, f-1$ and all positive coroots $\alpha \in R^{\vee,+}$.

2.2. **Tame types and Serre weights.** We begin with some setup. An *inertial type* $\tau : I_K \to \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ is a representation with open kernel which extends to the Weil group of K. An inertial type is *tame* if it factors through tame inertia. All our tame types will be defined over $\mathcal{O}$.

Tame inertial types have a combinatorial description which we will now recall (cf. [Her09], (6.15) or [GHS], Definition 8.2.2). Let $(w,\mu) \in W(\underline{G}) \times X^*(\underline{T})$. As in (4.1) [Her09], for any $(\nu,\sigma) \in X^*(\underline{T}) \rtimes W(\underline{G})$, define

$$ {}^{(\nu,\sigma)}(w,\mu) = (\sigma w \pi(\sigma)^{-1}, \sigma(\mu) + p\nu - \sigma w \pi(\sigma)^{-1}(\nu)) \tag{2.1}$$

and we write $(w,\mu) \sim (w',\mu')$ if there exists (ν,σ) such that ${}^{(\nu,\sigma)}(w,\mu) = (w',\mu)$.

For any integer $r \le n$, we choose an embedding σ_0' of the unramified extension K'/K of degree r into E extending σ_0. Using our choice of $(p^{fr}-1)$st root of $(-p)$ in §1.2, this induces a fundamental character $\omega_{f'} : I_K^t \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $\omega_{f'}^{\frac{p^{fr}-1}{p^f-1}} = \omega_f$.

Definition 2.2.1. Define an isomorphism class of $\tau(w,\mu) : I_K \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ of tame inertial Galois representation as follows: If $w = (s_\tau, \mathrm{id}, \dots, \mathrm{id})$ of order r and $\mu = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \in X^*(\underline{T})$ then

$$ \tau(w,\mu) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{1\le i\le n} \omega_{f'}^{\sum_{0\le k\le r-1} \mathbf{a}^{(0)}_{s_\tau^k(i)} p^{fk}} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_\ell^{(0)} := \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} a_{\ell,j} p^j$.

If $w = (s_0, \dots, s_{f-1})$, then for $\sigma = (1, s_1^{-1}, (s_2 s_1)^{-1}, \dots)$, ${}^{(\underline{0},\sigma)}(w,\mu) = (w',\mu')$ with $w' = (s_\tau, \mathrm{id}, \dots, \mathrm{id})$. Define $\tau(w,\mu) := \tau(w',\mu')$.

Remark 2.2.2. In Proposition 3.1.2, we relate $\tau(w,\mu)$ to étale ϕ-modules. An alternative definition could have been to use Proposition 3.1.2 as the definition which would have made the basic properties easier to understand but would have required additional background.

We record the following which is closely related to Proposition 6.14 [Her09]:

Proposition 2.2.3. *Let $\tau(w,\mu)$ and $\tau(w',\mu')$ be tame inertial types then $\tau(w,\mu) \cong \tau(w',\mu')$ if and only if $(w,\mu) \sim (w',\mu')$.*

Following [Her09], §4 which follows Jantzen, we denote the Deligne-Lusztig representation associated to a good pair $(w,\mu) \in X^*(\underline{T}) \rtimes W(\underline{G})$ by $R_w(\mu)$. For any tame type τ, there is an associated $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$-representation $V(\tau)$ defined in [GHS] §9.2.1. By Proposition 9.2.2 of [GHS] if $\tau \cong \tau(w,\mu)$, then

$$ V(\tau) = R_w(\mu). \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 2.2.4.
(1) The condition that (w,μ) is *good* is defined in Definition 6.19 of [Her09]. This condition guarantees that the associated torus is maximally split.
(2) In general, the Deligne-Lusztig representation $R_w(\mu)$ is only virtual. The genericity condition defined below will guarantee that $R_w(\mu)$ is in fact irreducible over E.

Definition 2.2.5. Let τ be a tame inertial type.

(1) Define τ to be *m-generic* if there is an isomorphism $\tau \cong \tau(s, \lambda+\eta)$ for some $s \in W(\underline{G})$ and $\lambda \in X^*(\underline{T})$ which is m-deep in alcove $\underline{C}_0$.
(2) Similarly, if $\overline{\rho} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is semisimple, define $\overline{\rho}$ to be m-generic if $\overline{\rho}|_{I_K}$ is m-generic.
(3) We say that τ (resp. $\overline{\rho}$) is *generic* if it is n-generic (resp. $3n$-generic).

Remark 2.2.6. Almost all our results hold for $\overline{\rho}$ which are $2n$-generic. We only use the stronger genericity assumption in the last part of the proof of Theorem 4.2.3 to eliminate Serre weights like the Steinberg representation which do not appear in any tame type. A more careful analysis could likely improve this bound.

Remark 2.2.7. Since the bounds for genericity do not depend on p, as p gets large, most semisimple representations will be generic.

Definition 2.2.8. We say that a tame inertial type τ is *regular* if the characters appearing in τ as in (2.2) are pairwise distinct.

Generic certainly implies regular but regular is a much weaker condition.

Definition 2.2.9. A *Serre weight* is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})$. If $\lambda \in X_1(\underline{T})$ is a p-restricted dominant weight, then $F(\lambda)$ will be the associated Serre weight and $W(\lambda)$ will be the (dual) Weyl module with highest weight λ.

Let w_0 denote the longest elements of $W(\underline{G})$. Recall the self-bijection on regular p-restricted weights defined by $\lambda \mapsto w_0 \cdot (\lambda - p\eta)$ which induces a map $\mathcal{R}$ on regular Serre weights (pg. 53 of [GHS]). If we let $\widetilde{w}_h := w_0 t_{-\eta}$, then we also have

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda) = \widetilde{w}_h \cdot \lambda.$$

Note that $\widetilde{w}_h \cdot \underline{C}_0$ is the highest p-restricted p-alcove.

We are now ready to state the Serre weight recipe following [Her09, GHS].

Definition 2.2.10. (cf. [GHS], Definition 9.2.4) Let $\overline{\rho}$ be a generic semisimple n-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Then,

$$W^?(\overline{\rho}) := \{\mathcal{R}(F(\lambda)) : F(\lambda) \text{ is a Jordan–Hölder constituent of } V(\overline{\rho}|_{I_K})\}$$

Define $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho}) \subset W^?(\overline{\rho})$ as in [GHS], Definition 7.1.3.

We give another characterization of the obvious weights:

Proposition 2.2.11. *Let $\overline{\rho}$ be generic and semisimple. Then for $\lambda \in X_1(\underline{T})$, $F(\lambda) \in W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho})$ if and only $\overline{\rho}|_{I_K} \cong \tau(w, \lambda+\eta)$ for some $w \in W(\underline{G})$.*

Proof. See Proposition 9.3.7 in [GHS]. □

Corollary 2.2.12. *Let $\overline{\rho}$ be generic and semisimple. Then $|W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho})| = (n!)^f$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{\rho}$ is generic, we have $\overline{\rho}|_{I_K} \cong \tau(s, \mu+\eta)$ for some μ which is $3n$-deep in $\underline{C}_0$. An easy calculation using genericity shows that if ${}^{(\nu,\sigma)}(s, \mu+\eta) = (s', \mu'+\eta)$ is such that $\mu' \in X_1(\underline{T})$ then $t_\nu \sigma \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}$ sends $\underline{C}_0$ to a p-restricted alcove C, and furthermore, μ' is determined uniquely by (and determines) the pair C and the class of ν modulo Λ_R. For each σ, there is a unique $\nu \in \Lambda_W$ such that $t_\nu \sigma \cdot (\underline{C}_0)$ is p-restricted. This gives a bijection between $W(\underline{G})$ and the set of pairs $(C, \nu \bmod \Lambda_R)$, and hence also between $W(\underline{G})$ and obvious weights.

□

Definition 2.2.13. We say that $F(\lambda) \in W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho})$ is the obvious weight *associated* to $w \in W(\underline{G})$ if w maps to $F(\lambda)$ in bijection from the proof of Corollary 2.2.12.

2.3. **Inertial local Langlands.** In this section, we establish some simple instances of the inertial local Langlands following §2.4 of [EGH13]. Fix an isomorphism $i : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$. As above let $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be the unramified extension of degree f. Let $\mathrm{rec}_{K,\mathbb{C}}$ denote the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ of [HT01]. Using i, define a local Langlands correspondence rec_K over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such $i \circ \mathrm{rec}_K = \mathrm{rec}_{K,\mathbb{C}} \circ i$. We first recall the existence statement:

Theorem 2.3.1. (*Theorem* 3.7, [CEG$^+$]) *Let τ be an inertial type, then there is a finite-dimensional smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation $\sigma(\tau)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that if π is any irreducible smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ then $\pi|_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)}$ contains a unique copy of $\sigma(\tau)$ as a subrepresentation if and only if $\mathrm{rec}_K(\pi)|_{I_K} \cong \tau$ and $N = 0$ on $\mathrm{rec}_K(\pi)$.*

What we will need is an explicit $\sigma(\tau)$ in the case when τ is a tame inertial type. Let K'/K denote an unramified extension of degree r with residue fields k'/k. A character $\theta : k'^{\times} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ is *primitive* if all its $\mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$-conjugates are distinct. Following §4, [Her09], let $\kappa(\theta) = (-1)^{n-1} R^{\theta}_{T_w}$ denote the cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_r(k)$ parametrised by θ.

Proposition 2.3.2. *Let $\tau = \oplus_{i=0}^{r} (\theta \circ \mathrm{Art}_{K'}^{-1})^{(i)}|_{I_K}$ where $\chi^{(i)}$ denote the ith Frobenius twist. Then*

$$\sigma(\tau) \cong \kappa(\theta)$$

where the $\mathrm{GL}_r(k)$-representation is interpreted as a $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathcal{O}_K)$-representation in the obvious way.

Proof. See Proposition 2.4.1 (i) of [EGH13]. □

Definition 2.3.3. Let $n = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} r_j$ be a partition of n. For each j, let θ_j be a primitive character for the extension of degree r_i. Define $\mathrm{PInd}(\kappa(\theta_1), \ldots, \kappa(\theta_\ell))$ to be the parabolic induction to $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ of $\otimes_i \kappa(\theta_i)$ as a representation of the rational points of a parabolic $P \supset B$ with Levi subgroup $\oplus_i \mathrm{GL}_{r_i}$.

Proposition 2.3.4. *Let $\tau = \oplus_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j$ where τ_j is a cuspidal inertial type associated to a primitive character θ_j of degree r_i as in Proposition 2.3.2. Assume that the cuspidal types $\kappa(\theta_j)$ are pairwise distinct. Then*

$$\sigma(\tau) \cong \mathrm{PInd}(\kappa(\theta_1), \ldots, \kappa(\theta_\ell)).$$

Proof. This follows from §6 of [PZ13] where $\sigma(\tau)$ is constructed as $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda)$ for maximal $\mathcal{P}$ (see also §3.6 of [CEG$^+$]). In the case of principal series, one can also see Proposition 2.4.1(ii) in [EGH13]. □

Corollary 2.3.5. *Let $\tau = \tau(w, \mu)$ be a regular type (Definition 2.2.8). Then $\sigma(\tau) \cong R_w(\mu)$.*

Proof. As in the Definition 2.2.1, we immediately reduce to the case that $w = (s_\tau, \mathrm{id}, \dots, \mathrm{id})$. The condition of being regular corrresponds to the pairwise distinctness condition in Proposition 2.3.4. Finally, we use Lemma 4.7 of [Her09] to relate the parabolic induction in Proposition 2.3.4 to the Deligne-Lusztig representation $R_w(\mu)$. □

Remark 2.3.6. Note that for regular types τ the map V from (2.3) agrees with correspondence σ. However, since they will appear in different contexts, we will continue to use both notations (the former being applied to $\overline{\rho}|_{I_K}$).

3. Local results

In this section, we prove the main results on reductions of potentially crystalline representations which will be used for weight elimination in §4.1.

3.1. **Étale ϕ-modules.** In this section, we consider étale ϕ-modules associated to affine Weyl group elements and determine their corresponding semisimple Galois representations. The key result is Proposition 3.1.2 which relates the Galois representation to the tame types defined in §2.2

Let $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$ denote the p-adic completion of $\mathfrak{S}[\frac{1}{v}]$, where $\mathfrak{S} := \mathcal{O}_K[\![v]\!]$ is endowed with a Frobenius morphism φ extending the Frobenius on $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\varphi(v) = v^p$. Let R be a local, complete Noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra with finite residue field. By base change, the ring $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}R$ is naturally endowed with a Frobenius endomorphism φ and we write $\Phi\text{-}\operatorname{Mod}^{\text{ét}}(R)$ for the category of étale $(\varphi, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}R)$-modules. Its objects are finite type projective modules $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}R$, endowed with a Frobenius semilinear endomorphism $\varphi_\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ inducing an isomorphism on the pull-back: $\mathrm{id} \otimes_\varphi \varphi_\mathcal{M} : \varphi^*(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}$.

Since K_∞/K is totally wildly ramified, we can identify the tame Galois group of K_∞ with the tame Galois group of K. In particular,

$$\operatorname{Rep}^t_\mathbb{F}(G_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Rep}^t_\mathbb{F}(G_{K_\infty})$$

where Rep^t denotes subcategory of tame representation. We will often implicitly identify tame representations of G_{K_∞} with their canonical extensions to G_K.

For any complete local Noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra R with finite residue field, by theory of norm fields, there is anti-equivalence of categories

$$\mathbb{V}^* : \Phi\text{-}\operatorname{Mod}^{\text{ét}}(R) \to \operatorname{Rep}_R(G_{K_\infty})$$

(cf. Lemma 1.2.7 [Kis09] for version with coefficients).

For any $(\mathcal{M}, \phi_\mathcal{M}) \in \Phi\text{-}\operatorname{Mod}^{\text{ét}}(R)$, we decompose $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_j \mathcal{M}^{(j)}$ over embeddings $\sigma_j : W(k) \to \mathcal{O}$ with the induced maps $\phi^{(j)}_\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{M}^{(j)} \to \mathcal{M}^{(j+1)}$. We are now ready to define "semisimple" étale ϕ-modules. We fix an embedding $\widetilde{W}^\vee = X_*(T) \rtimes S_n \hookrightarrow N_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(T)(\mathbb{F}(\!(v)\!))$ given by $\mu \mapsto v^\mu$ and identifying S_n with the subgroup of permutation matrices.

Definition 3.1.1. For any $\widetilde{w} = (\widetilde{w}_j) \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^\vee$, define $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}) \in \Phi\text{-}\mathrm{Mod}^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{F})$ to be the free module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}}$ of rank n such that the Frobenius $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}^{(j)}$ is given by the matrix $\widetilde{w}_j \in N_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(T)(\mathbb{F}((v)))$.

Proposition 3.1.2. *For any $\widetilde{w} = st_\mu \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^\vee$, $\mathbb{V}^*(\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}))$ is a tame representation of G_{K_∞} and*

$$\mathbb{V}^*(\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}))|_{I_K} \cong \tau(s^*, \mu^*).$$

Proof. If $(s_1^*, \mu_1^*) \sim (s_2^*, \mu_2^*)$ via conjugation by (ν, σ) then φ-conjugation by $t_{\pi^{-1}(\nu)}\sigma$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}(s_1 t_{\mu_1}) \cong \mathcal{M}(s_2 t_{\mu_2})$ by (2.1). For any $\widetilde{w}$, by an appropriate change of basis, we can assume $\widetilde{w}_j = 1$ for $j \neq f-1$. It is then a straightforward computation as in Lemma 3.20 of [LLHLMb]. □

3.2. **Semisimple Kisin modules.** We recall and generalize background from §3 of [LLHLMb] to GL_n. We follow the notation of *loc. cit.*. Let $s_\tau \in S_n$ of order r. We write K' for the unramified extension of K of degree $f' \overset{\text{def}}{=} fr$. As in *loc. cit.*, we consider the totally tamely ramified extension L'/K' of degree $e' := p^{f'} - 1$ generated by ϖ_r and fix a fundamental character $\omega_{f'} : I_{K'} \to \mathbb{F}^\times$ satisfying $\omega_{f'}^{\frac{e'}{e}} = \omega_f$.

Let $\mu = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \in X^*(\underline{T})$ such that $\mathbf{a}_k = (a_{k,j})_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}^f$. Following [LLHLMa], §6.1, consider the tame Galois type

$$\tau \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le n} \omega_{f'}^{\sum_{0 \le k \le r-1} \mathbf{a}^{(0)}_{s_\tau^k(i)} p^{fk}} = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le n} \chi_i \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_\ell^{(0)} := \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} a_{\ell,j} p^j$.

If $r = 1$, we say that τ is a *principal series type*. We write τ' for the base change of τ to K'/K (which is just τ considered as a principal series type for $G_{K'}$). We will always assume that τ is 0-generic in the sense of Definition 2.2.5.

Associated to μ, we have an *orientation* ([LLHLMa], Definition 2.6) $s \in W(\underline{G})$ with the property that $s^*(\mu) - \eta$ lies in $\underline{C}_0$ (by genericity condition) where transpose is as in Definition 2.1.2.

Define

$$s_{\tau,\mu} := (\pi^{-1}s)^{-1}(1, 1, \dots, s_\tau^{-1})s \in W(\underline{G}).$$

We record the following for later:

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let $\sigma(\tau)$ be tame $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$-type associated to τ by inertial local Langlands. Then $\sigma(\tau) \cong R_{s^*_{\tau,\mu}}(s^*(\mu))$ where $s^*(\mu) - \eta$ lies $\underline{C}_0$.*

Proof. The proof that $\tau \cong \tau(s^*_{\tau,\mu}, s^*(\mu))$ with $s^*(\mu) - \eta$ in $\underline{C}_0$ is the same as Proposition 3.23 in [LLHLMb]. The expression for $\sigma(\tau)$ follows from Corollary 2.3.5 using that τ is 0-generic. □

Theorem 3.2.2. *Let $\overline{\rho}$ be the reduction of a potentially crystalline representation of type (η, τ). Assume τ is generic. Then there exists $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\eta)$ such that*

$$(\overline{\rho}^{ss})|_{I_K} \cong \mathbb{V}^*(\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w} s_{\tau,\mu} t_{s^{-1}(\mu^*)}))|_{I_K}.$$

Remark 3.2.3. In Theorem 3.2.2, the element $\widetilde{w}$ is called the shape of $\overline{\rho}^{ss}$ relative to τ as introduced in [CL] and [LLHLMa]. It is a kind of relative position between two tame types. The shape is closely related to the geometry of the potentially crystalline deformation ring of type (η, τ) as seen in [LLHLMa].

Corollary 3.2.4. *If $\overline{\rho}$ is the reduction of potentially crystalline representation of type (η, τ) with $\tau \cong \tau(s', \nu' + \eta)$ generic and $\nu' \in \underline{C}_0$, then there exists $(w, \nu) \in W(\underline{G}) \times X^*(\underline{T})$ such that*

$$\overline{\rho}^{\mathrm{ss}}|_{I_K} \cong \tau(w, \nu + \eta) \text{ and } (s')^{-1} t_{\nu - \nu'} w \in \mathrm{Adm}(\eta).$$

Proof. For τ as in Theorem 3.2.2, by Proposition 3.2.1, we have $\sigma(\tau) \cong R_{s^*_{\tau,\mu}}(s^*(\mu))$. Set $s' = s^*_{\tau,\mu}$ and $\nu' = s^*(\mu) - \eta$. Let $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\eta)$ be as in Theorem 3.2.2. Choose $(w, \nu) \in W(\underline{G}) \times X^*(\underline{T})$ such that

$$\widetilde{w} s_{\tau,\mu} t_{s^{-1}(\mu^*)} = \widetilde{w} (s')^* t_{(\nu')^* + \eta} = w^* t_{\nu^* + \eta}.$$

Then, by Proposition 3.1.2,

$$\overline{\rho}^{\mathrm{ss}}|_{I_K} \cong \tau(w, \nu + \eta).$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1.3,

$$\widetilde{w}^* = (w^* t_{\nu^* - (\nu')^*} ((s')^*)^{-1})^* = (s')^{-1} t_{\nu - \nu'} w \in \mathrm{Adm}(\eta).$$

□

Theorem 3.2.2 will be a consequence of the following theorem about reductions of Kisin modules with descent data. The proof appears at the end of the subsection.

Theorem 3.2.5. *Let $\overline{\rho}$ be a semisimple representation of G_K. Assume that either (1) $\overline{\rho}$ is completely reducible or (2) $\lambda = \eta$ and τ is generic. Then if there exists a Kisin module $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{\lambda,\tau}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}) \cong \overline{\rho}|_{G_{K_\infty}}$, then there exists semisimple Kisin module $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'$ of shape $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\lambda)$ (Definition 3.2.12) such that $T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}') \cong \overline{\rho}|_{G_{K_\infty}}$.*

Fix τ as in (3.1). For any positive integer h and R a complete local Noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra with residue field $\mathbb{F}'$ finite over $\mathbb{F}$, let $Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R)$ be the category of Kisin modules with tame descent of type τ and height in $[0, h]$ as defined in §3 of [CL] if τ is principal series types. For other types, we refer to §6 of [LLHLMa] for further background.

Let $\Delta' := \mathrm{Gal}(L'/K') \subset \Delta := \mathrm{Gal}(L'/K)$. Note that τ defines a representation $\Delta' \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$.

Definition 3.2.6. An element $(\mathfrak{M}, \phi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \{\widehat{g}\}) \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R)$ is a Kisin module $(\mathfrak{M}, \phi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ over R for L' (Definition 2.3 [LLHLMa]) with height less than h together with a semilinear action of Δ which commutes with $\phi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that for each $0 \le j \le f' - 1$

$$\mathfrak{M}^{(j)} \mod u \cong \tau^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} R$$

as Δ'-representations. In particular, if σ^f generates $\mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)$, then we have an isomorphism $(\sigma^f)^*(\mathfrak{M}) \cong \mathfrak{M}$ as elements of $Y^{[0,h],\tau'}(R)$.

Remark 3.2.7. The appearance of $\tau^\vee$ in the definition is due to the fact that we are using the contravariant functors to Galois representations to be consistent with [LLHLMa, LLHLMb] as opposed to the covariants versions which appear in [CL, EGH13].

Recall the following definition:

Definition 3.2.8. An eigenbasis for β for $\mathfrak{M} \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(\mathbb{F}')$ is a basis $\beta^{(j')}$ of $\mathfrak{M}^{(j')}$ for each $0 \leq j' < f'$ on which Δ' acts diagonally and such that $(\sigma^f)^*(\beta) = \beta$ (see Definition 4.2 of [CL] and Definition 3.1 of [LLHLMb]).

Let $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{F}') \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}'[\![v]\!])$ be the Iwahori subgroup of matrices which are upper triangular modulo v. Let $\mathcal{I}_1(\mathbb{F}') \subset \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{F}')$ subgroup of matrices which are strictly upper triangular modulo v. Recall the notion of shape (Definition 5.5 in [CL]):

Definition 3.2.9. Let τ be a principal series type and $\widetilde{w} = (\widetilde{w}_0, \widetilde{w}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{w}_{f-1}) \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$. A Kisin module $\mathfrak{M} \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(\mathbb{F}')$ has *shape* $\widetilde{w}$ if for any eigenbasis β and any $0 \leq j \leq f-1$, the matrix $A^{(j)} = \mathrm{Mat}_\beta\left(\phi^{(j)}_{\mathfrak{M},s_{j+1}(n)}\right)$ lies in $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{F}')\widetilde{w}_j\,\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{F}')$.

For a non-principal series type, we define *shape* in terms of the base change (Definition 6.6 in [LLHLMa]). Because of the isomorphism $(\sigma^f)^*(\mathfrak{M}) \cong \mathfrak{M}$, the shape of the base change is automatically parallel (same in j and $j+f$ embeddings) and so the shape is an element of $\underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$.

Recall also the functor from §6.1 of *loc. cit.*:

$$T^*_{dd} : Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R) \to \mathrm{Rep}_R(G_{K_\infty}).$$

Let $\lambda \in X_*(\underline{T})$ effective, i.e., $\lambda_j = (a_{i,j})_i$ with $a_{i,j} \geq 0$. A key input for weight elimination is the following:

Theorem 3.2.10. *If $\overline{\rho}$ has a potentially crystalline lift with type (λ, τ), then for $h \gg 0$, there is a Kisin module $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(\mathbb{F}')$ with shape $\widetilde{w} = (\widetilde{w}_0, \widetilde{w}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{w}_{f-1}) \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\lambda)$ such that $T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}) \cong \overline{\rho}|_{G_{K_\infty}}$.*

Proof. If τ is a principal series type, this is direct consequence of Proposition 5.4 and Corollary 5.18 of [CL] which uses crucially results about local models from [PZ13]. In general, if $\overline{\rho}$ has a potentially crystalline lift of type (λ, τ) then there exists a Kisin module $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(\mathbb{F}')$ which lifts to characteristic 0. The same is true for the base change to the unramified extension K' and so $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ must have shape in $\mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\lambda)$ by the principal series case. □

Remark 3.2.11. The definition of $\mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\lambda)$ (Definition 2.1.1) is in terms of the Bruhat order on the (extended) affine Weyl group for GL_n. The Bruhat order in turn depends on a choice of base alcove for the standard apartment or equivalently a choice of Iwahori subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}[\![v]\!])$. The Iwahori subgroup which appears §5 of [CL] is the subgroup of mattrices which are upper triangular mod v. This is consistent with the choice of antidominant base alcove for $\widetilde{W}^\vee$.

We will need finer control of the shape of the Kisin module in the case when $\overline{\rho}$ is semisimple. For any λ effective, define $Y^{\lambda,\tau}(\mathbb{F}') \subset Y^{[0,h],\tau}(\mathbb{F}')$ to be subcategory of Kisin modules with shape in $\mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\lambda)$. In [CL], this is shown to be the $\mathbb{F}'$-points of a closed substack.

Definition 3.2.12. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(\mathbb{F})$. We say that $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ is *semisimple* of shape $\widetilde{w} = (\widetilde{w}_j) \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$ if there exists a finite extension $\mathbb{F}'/\mathbb{F}$ and an eigenbasis β of $\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}'$ such that

$$A^{(j)} \in T(\mathbb{F}'[\![v]\!])\widetilde{w}_j$$

for $0 \le j \le f' - 1$.

Proposition 3.2.13. *If $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ is* semisimple *of shape $\widetilde{w} = (\widetilde{w}_j) \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$, then there exists an eigenbasis β such that*

$$A^{(j)} = \widetilde{w}_j \text{ for } 0 \le j \le f-2, \qquad A^{(f-1)} \in T(\mathbb{F})\widetilde{w}_{f-1}.$$

Proof. The proof is by successive approximation and is identical to that of Proposition 3.10 in [LLHLMb]. □

Corollary 3.2.14. *If $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(\mathbb{F})$ is semisimple of shape $\widetilde{w} = wt_\nu \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$, then $T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}})$ is semisimple and*

$$T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}})|_{I_K} \cong \mathbb{V}^*(\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}s_{\tau,\mu}t_{s^{-1}(\mu^*)}))|_{I_K} \cong \tau(s^*_{\tau,\mu}w^*, s^*_{\tau,\mu}(\nu^*) + s^*(\mu)).$$

Proof. This follows from a direct computation of the étale ϕ-module $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{M}}[1/u])^{\Delta=1}$ as in Corollary 3.3 of [LLHLMb]. Namely, there exists a basis for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ such that the matrix of $\phi^{(j)}_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{(j)} \to \mathcal{M}^{(j+1)}$ is given by

$$D^{(j)}\widetilde{w}_j s_{\tau,\mu,j} v^{s_j^{-1}(\mu_{f-1-j})}$$

where $D^{(j)} = \mathrm{id}$ for $j \ne f-1$ and $D^{(f-1)} \in T(\mathbb{F})$. The construction of such a basis is the same as in Corollary 3.1.3 of [LLHLMb].

The last isomorphism follows from Proposition 3.1.2. □

Before we begin the proof of Theorem 3.2.5, we show that in generic situations, the Kisin module which gives rise to $\overline{\rho}$ is necessarily unique (if one exists), i.e., the Kisin variety is trivial.

Proposition 3.2.15. *Assume that τ is generic. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\mathfrak{M}}' \in Y^{\eta,\tau}(\mathbb{F}')$ for any $\mathbb{F}'/\mathbb{F}$. If $T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}) \cong T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}'})$, then $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \cong \overline{\mathfrak{M}'}$.*

Proof. Since the G_{K_∞}-representations are isomorphic, we have $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}[1/u] \cong \overline{\mathfrak{M}'}[1/u]$ as étale ϕ-modules with descent datum. We can reduce immediately to the case when τ is a principal series type. The proof is then identical to the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [LLHLMa] with 2 replaced everywhere by $n-1$. □

Definition 3.2.16. Assume that τ is generic, and let $\overline{\rho}$ be a Galois representation. If there exists $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{\eta,\tau}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}) \cong \overline{\rho}|_{G_{K_\infty}}$, then define $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho},\tau) \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^\vee$ to be the shape of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ which is well-defined by Proposition 3.2.15.

For any $\widetilde{w} \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}$, let $d_{ij}(\widetilde{w})$ be the maximal integer such that $v^{d_{ik}(\widetilde{w})}E_{ik} \notin \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathrm{Ad}(\widetilde{w}^{-1})\mathcal{I})$, where E_{ik} is the matrix with (i,k)-th entry 1 and all other entries 0. Exactly as in Definition 2.23 of [LLHLMa], we have the following:

Definition 3.2.17. $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{\eta,\tau}(\mathbb{F}')$ with shape $(\widetilde{w}_j)$. A *gauge basis* β for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ is an eigenbasis such that the (i,k)-th entry of $A^{(j)} = \mathrm{Mat}_\beta\left(\phi^{(j)}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}},s_{j+1}(n)}\right)$ is a polynomial in v of degree $\leq d_{ik}(\widetilde{w}_j)$.

Proposition 3.2.18. *Assume τ is generic. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{\eta,\tau}(\mathbb{F}')$, β an eigenbasis of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $A^{(j)} = \mathrm{Mat}_\beta\left(\phi^{(j)}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}},s_{j+1}(n)}\right)$. Then the assignment $\beta' \mapsto (\mathrm{Mat}_{\beta'}\left(\phi^{(j)}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}},s_{j+1}(n)}\right))_j$ defines a bijection between the set of eigenbases β' such that $\beta' = \beta \bmod u$ and the set of $(\sigma^f)^*$-invariant tuples of the form $(\mathcal{I}_1(\mathbb{F}')A^{(j)})_j$.*

Proof. It suffices to treat the case τ is principal series. Note that the map is well-defined due to the genericity of τ. Using the change of basis formula as in Proposition 2.15 of [LLHLMa], it suffices to show that each tuple $(X_jA^{(j)})$ with $X_j \in \mathcal{I}_1(\mathbb{F}')$ can be written as

$$X_jA^{(j)} = I^{(j+1)}A^{(j)}\,\mathrm{Ad}(s_{j+1}^{-1}s_jv^{(a_{s_j(k),f'-j-1})_k})\phi(I^{(j)})$$

for a unique tuple $(I^{(j)}) \in \mathcal{I}_1(\mathbb{F}')^{f'}$. The existence of such a tuple follows in exactly the same way as the proof of Lemma 2.21 of loc.cit. For uniqueness, we need to show that if

$$I^{(j)} = \mathrm{Ad}(A^{(j)}s_{j+1}^{-1}s_jv^{(a_{s_j(k),f'-j-1})_k})\phi(I^{(j)})$$

then $I^{(j)} = 1$ for all j. Indeed the genericity of τ assures that the right-hand side of the above equation congurent to 1 mod v^2, and that if $I^{(j+1)} = 1 \bmod v^\delta$ then the right-hand side is congruent to 1 mod $v^{p(\delta-1)}$. This shows that $I^{(j)} = 1$ mod abritrary high powers of v, thus $I^{(j)} = 1$ as desired. □

For τ generic, Proposition 3.2.18 shows that gauge bases exists, and are unique up to scaling by a tuple in $T(\mathbb{F}')^f$.

Remark 3.2.19. Since the only monomial matrices in $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{F})\widetilde{w}\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{F})$ are $T(\mathbb{F})\widetilde{w}$, Proposition 3.2.13 therefore shows that a Kisin module $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ is semisimple of shape $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\eta)$ if and only if the matrices $A^{(j)}$ with respect to one (equivalently, any) gauge basis are monomial.

Proof of Theorem 3.2.5. We first treat the case where $\overline{\rho}$ is completely reducible. Let $\mathcal{M}_{dd} = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}[1/u]$ be an étale ϕ-module over L' with descent datum. Since $\mathbb{V}^*_{dd}$ is an equivalence of categories, if $\overline{\rho}$ is completely reducible then $\mathcal{M}_{dd} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$ where each $\mathcal{M}_i$ is stable under $\phi_{\mathcal{M}_{dd}}$ and the descent datum.

Let $Y^{\lambda,\tau}_{\mathcal{M}_{dd}}$ be the Kisin variety parametrizing lattices in $\mathcal{M}_{dd}$ which lie in $Y^{\lambda,\tau}$, i.e., which have shape in $\mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\lambda)$. The torus $T = \mathbb{G}_m^n$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{dd}$ by scaling individually in each factor. As a consequence, we get an algebraic action of T on the projective variety $Y^{\lambda,\tau}_{\mathcal{M}_{dd}}$.

Any such action has a fixed point (possible after extending scalars). Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}' \subset Y^{\lambda,\tau}_{\mathcal{M}_{dd}}(\mathbb{F}')$ be a T-fixed point. Let $\chi_i : T \to \mathbb{G}_m$ denote projection onto the ith coordinate, and set $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_i := \overline{\mathfrak{M}}^{\chi_i}$. Then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_i \tag{3.2}$$

Since the T-action commutes with $\phi_{\mathcal{M}_{dd}}$ and Δ, each $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_i'$ is stable under both, hence $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_i'$ is in fact a rank one Kisin module with descent datum. Any choice of eigenbasis which respects this decomposition shows that $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'$ is semisimple. Because $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'$ is in $Y^{\lambda,\tau}(\mathbb{F}')$, it is semisimple with an admissible shape $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\lambda)$.

Now suppose that τ is generic, but $\overline{\rho}$ is not necessarily completely reducible. In this case, the Kisin module $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{\eta,\tau}(\mathbb{F})$ is unique by Proposition 3.2.15. We make a base change to an unramified extension $\breve{K}/K$ such that $\overline{\rho}$ becomes completely reducible. Let $\breve{\tau}$ be the base change of τ to $\breve{K}$, and let $\breve{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}} = \mathrm{BC}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}})$ be the base change of the Kisin module $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$.

By the above argument, $\breve{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ is the unique Kisin module of type $(\eta, \breve{\tau})$ which therefore must be semisimple. Since the base change of a gauge basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a gauge basis of $\breve{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$, by Remark 3.2.19, the matrices of partial Frobenii of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ with respect to a gauge basis are monomial, and hence $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ is semisimple. □

Remark 3.2.20. In the proof of Theorem 3.2.5, while Δ' acts on $(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_1')^{(j)} \mod u$ through one of the characters of τ, it need not be the same character for each j. This is why even though $\phi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is "diagonal," the individual $A^{(j)}$ need not be diagonal, but only monomial.

Proof of Theorem 3.2.2. If $\overline{\rho}$ is the reduction of a potentially crystalline representation of type (η, τ), then by Theorem 3.2.10, there exists $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{\eta,\tau}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{K_\infty}} \cong T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}})$. Since τ is generic, by Theorem 3.2.5, there exists a semisimple Kisin module of shape $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\eta)$. By Corollary 3.2.14, we have

$$\overline{\rho}|_{I_K} \cong T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}})|_{I_K} \cong \mathbb{V}^*(\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w} s_{\tau,\mu} t_{s^{-1}(\mu^*)}))|_{I_K}$$

which completes the proof. □

3.3. **Genericity conditions.**

Proposition 3.3.1. *Assume $\overline{\rho}$ is semisimple and $2n$-generic. Then if $\overline{\rho}$ is the reduction of a potentially crystalline representation of type (η, τ) then τ is generic.*

Proof. Let $\overline{\rho}$ be the reduction of a potentially crystalline representation of type (η, τ). By assumption, $\overline{\rho}$ is $2n$-generic. Genericity can be checked after unramified base change so we can assume that τ is a principal series type and $\overline{\rho}$ is niveau 1. Let $\tau = \tau(s, \mu + \eta)$ where $\mu \in \underline{C}_0$. By Theorem 3.2.5 and the same computation as in Corollary 3.2.4, we have

$$\overline{\rho}|_{I_K} \cong \tau(w, \mu' + \eta)$$

for some $w \in W(\underline{G})$ and $\mu' = \mu + s'\nu$ where $s' \in W(\underline{G})$ and $\nu \leq \eta$ dominant. We deduce from Definition 2.2.5 that for some (ν', σ)

$$R_1(\lambda + \eta) \cong R_{\sigma\pi(\sigma^{-1})}((F(\nu'), \sigma) \cdot (\lambda + \eta) - \sigma\pi(\sigma^{-1})(\nu') + \eta)$$

where $(\pi(\nu'), \sigma) \cdot (\lambda + \eta) - \sigma\pi(\sigma^{-1})(\nu')$ is $2n$-deep in alcove $\underline{C}_0$. We have for any coroot $\alpha^\vee$

$$2n < |\langle \sigma(\lambda + \eta) + p\nu' - \sigma\pi(\sigma^{-1})\pi\nu', \alpha^\vee \rangle| < p - 2n$$

$$|\langle \lambda+\eta,\alpha^\vee\rangle| = |\langle \mu+\eta+s'\nu,\alpha^\vee|\rangle \leq p+n-1$$

It follows that

$$|\langle p\nu' - \sigma\pi(\sigma^{-1})\pi\nu',\alpha^\vee\rangle| < 2p-n-1$$

Let $M = \max_{\alpha^\vee}\{\langle \nu',\alpha^\vee\rangle\}$. Then $(p-1)M < 2p-n-1$, hence $M<2$. Repeating the same argument with min replacing max, we get

$$|\langle \nu',\alpha^\vee\rangle| < 2$$

Since

$$\langle \sigma(\lambda+\eta)+p\nu'-\sigma\pi(\sigma^{-1})\pi\nu',\alpha^\vee\rangle = \langle\sigma(\mu+\eta),\alpha^\vee\rangle + p\langle\nu',\alpha^\vee\rangle - \langle\sigma\pi(\sigma^{-1})\pi\nu',\alpha^\vee\rangle + \langle\sigma(s'\nu),\alpha^\vee\rangle$$

we deduce that $\langle \mu+\eta,\sigma^{-1}\alpha^\vee\rangle + p\langle\nu',\alpha^\vee\rangle$ is in $(n,p-n)$ for $\alpha^\vee$ positive. This forces τ to be n-generic. □

Remark 3.3.2. For any λ dominant, if we take $N=\max_{\alpha^\vee}\{\langle\lambda,\alpha^\vee\rangle\}$, and assume $N \ll p$. Then the same argument in Proposition 3.3.1 shows that if $\overline{\rho}$ is $2(N+1)$-generic, then if $\overline{\rho}$ is the reduction of a potentially crystalline representation of type (λ,τ) then τ is $(N+1)$-generic.

3.4. **Potential diagonalizability.** In this section, we show that all lifts of a semisimple $\overline{\rho}$ for special tame types are potentially diagonalizable. This plays an important role in the modularity of obvious weights (Theorem 4.3.5).

The main theorem is the following:

Theorem 3.4.1. *Let τ be a generic tame type. Let $\overline{\rho}$ be a semisimple representation such that for all j, there exists $w_j \in S_n$ such that $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho},\tau)_j = t_{w_j(\eta)}$. Then the framed potentially crystalline deformation ring $R^{\eta,\tau}_{\overline{\rho}}$ is formally smooth.*

Corollary 3.4.2. *Let $\overline{\rho},\tau$ be as in Theorem 3.4.1 then any lift of $\overline{\rho}$ is potentially diagonalizable.*

The proof of Theorem 3.4.1 uses the techniques introduced in [LLHLMa]. For the rest of the section $\overline{\rho}$ and τ will be as in the Theorem. By Proposition 3.2.15, there exists a unique Kisin module $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in Y^{\eta,\tau}(\mathbb{F})$ of shape $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho},\tau)$ such that $T^*_{dd}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}) = \overline{\rho}|_{G_{K_\infty}}$. In fact, by Proposition 3.2.13, there exists an eigenbasis $\overline{\beta}$ for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that the Frobenii are given by

$$D^{(j)}v^{w_j(\eta)}$$

with $D^{(j)} \in T(\mathbb{F})$. We will need the following generalization of Proposition 3.2.18:

Proposition 3.4.3. *Let R be complete local Noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra with residue field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathfrak{M} \in Y^{[0,n-1],\tau}(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{M}\otimes\mathbb{F} \cong \overline{\mathfrak{M}}$, and let $\overline{\beta}$ be a gauge basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$. Then there exists an eigenbasis β lifting $\overline{\beta}$ such that if $i>k$, then*

$$A^{(j)}_{ik} \in R[v] \text{ with } \deg A^{(j)}_{ik} < \varepsilon_k(w_j(\eta))$$

and such that if $i \leq k$,

$$A^{(j)}_{ik} \in R[v] \text{ with } \deg A^{(j)}_{ik} \leq \varepsilon_k(w_j(\eta)).$$

(*Note that if $i < j$, then $v \mid A_{ik}^{(j)}$*). *Furthermore, such β is uniquely determined up to scaling by $T(R)^f$.*

Proof. The proof is a straightforward generalization of the arguments in [LLHLMa] Section 4, so we will only sketch the main points. As in *loc.cit.*, we introduce a semi-valuation on R given by $v_R(r) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \geq 0, r \in \mathfrak{m}^k\}$ and for $P = \sum_i r_i v^i \in R[\![v]\!]$ define $d(P) = \min_i (n+3)v_R(r_i)+i$. Given a matrix X with entries in $R[\![v]\!]$, define $d(X)$ to be the minimum of $d(X_{ij})$ where X_{ij} are the entries of X. This defines a complete and separated topology on $R[\![v]\!]$.

Given the tuple $(A^{(j)})$ corresponding to an eigenbasis β_0, we uniquely decompose $A^{(j)} = \widetilde{A}^{(j)} + E^{(j)}$ where the entries of $\widetilde{A}^{(j)}$ are exactly the truncation of the entries of $A^{(j)}$ below the degree bounds given in the conclusion of the proposition. We call $E^{(j)}$ the error term of the basis β_0. We define the defect $\delta(\beta_0)$ of β_0 to be $\min_j d(E^{(j)})$.

Suppose $\delta(\beta_0)$ is finite. We claim that there exists another eigenbasis β_1 such that $\delta(\beta_1) \geq \delta(\beta_0)+1$, and such that the change of basis matrices $C^{(j)}$ from β_0 to β_1 satisfy $d(C^{(j)} - I_n) \geq \delta(\beta_0) - n + 1$. This will suffice to show the existence of an eigenbasis β satisfying the conclusion of the proposition. Our assumptions on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\overline{\beta}$ imply that we can write $\tilde{A}^{(j)} = D^{(j)} v^{s_j(\eta)} + M^{(j)}$ with $D^{(j)} \in T(R)$ and $M^{(j)}$ having all entries in $\mathfrak{m}$. We now pick β_1 to be the eigenbasis obtained from β_0 by the change of basis matrix $C^{(j+1)} = 1 - E^{(j)} v^{-w_j(\eta)} (D^{(j)})^{-1}$. Note that $C^{(j)} \in \mathcal{I}_1(R)$ by construction of $E^{(j)}$, and $d(C^{(j)} - 1) \geq \delta(\beta_0) - n + 1$. Now by the change of basis formula in [LLHLMa] Proposition 2.15, with respect to β_1 the $A^{(j)}$ transforms into

$$\begin{aligned}
A'^{(j+1)} &= C^{(j+1)} A^{(j)} \operatorname{Ad}(s_{j+1}^{-1} s_j v^{(a_{s_j(k),f'-j-1})_k}) \phi(C^{(j)}) \\
&= \widetilde{A}^{(j)} + (E^{(j)} + (C^{(j+1)} - I_n)\widetilde{A}^{(j)}) + (C^{(j+1)} - I_n) E^{(j)} \\
&\qquad + C^{(j+1)} A^{(j)} \operatorname{Ad}(s_{j+1}^{-1} s_j v^{(a_{s_j(k),f'-j-1})_k}) \phi(C^{(j)} - I_n) \\
&= \widetilde{A}^{(j)} - E^{(j)} v^{-w_j(\eta)} (D^{(j)})^{-1} M^{(j)} + (C^{(j+1)} - I_n) E^{(j)} \\
&\qquad + C^{(j+1)} A^{(j)} \operatorname{Ad}(s_{j+1}^{-1} s_j v^{(a_{s_j(k),f'-j-1})_k}) \phi(C^{(j)} - I_n)
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that all but the first term of the sum have defect $d \geq \delta + 1$, by the genericity of τ and the fact that $d(x) > n + 2$ for $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. This implies $\delta(\beta_1) \geq \delta(\beta_0) + 1$.

For the uniqueness up to scaling of β, it suffices to show that if two such eigenbasis β, β' are related by change of matrices $C^{(j)} \in \mathcal{I}_1(R)$ then actually $C^{(j)} = I_n$. The argument is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2.18: using the above decomposition and topology on $R[\![v]\!]$ (instead of the v-adic topoolgy) one inductively shows that $d(C^{(j)} - I_n)$ is arbitrarily large.

□

We call a basis as above a *gauge basis*. This is consistent with Definition 4.13 of [LLHLMa], and also consistent with Definition 3.2.17. For any Artinian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra A with residue field $\mathbb{F}$, let $D^{\tau,\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}(A)$ be the category of pairs $(\mathfrak{M}_A, \beta_A)$ deforming $(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\beta})$ where $\mathfrak{M}_A \in Y^{[0,n-1],\tau}(A)$ and β_A is a gauge basis of $\mathfrak{M}_A$. This is representable by a complete local Noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra. Define $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ to be the p-flat quotient obtained by imposing the condition that $\mathfrak{M}_A$ has p-adic Hodge type $\leq \eta$.

Proposition 3.4.4. *The ring $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ is formally smooth with universal family $(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{univ}}, \beta^{\mathrm{univ}})$ given by matrices $A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}} = D^{(j)} v^{w_j(\eta)} U^{(j)}$ such that*

- *$D^{(j)} = \mathrm{Diag}(c_{11}, \cdots, c_{nn}) \in T(R)$.*
- *$w_j U^{(j)} w_j^{-1}$ is lower triangular unipotent, and its (i,k)-th entry is of the form $v^\delta f_{ik}(v)$ where $\deg f_{ik}(v) = k - i - 1$ and $\delta = 1$ if $w_j^{-1}(i) > w_j^{-1}(k)$ and $\delta = 0$ otherwise.*

Proof. We will treat the case $s_j = w_0$ is the long element of W, the other cases being similar (and easier). We wish to show that p-flatness and p-adic Hodge type $\leq \eta$ implies the constraints given in the proposition.

When $s_j = w_0$, the degree bound of Proposition 3.4.3 implies that $\deg A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}_{ik} \leq k - \delta_{i<k}$. We show inductively on k that $A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}_{ik}$ satisfy the conclusion of the proposition. Suppose we have shown that $A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}_{ik} = 0$ for $i > k$ and $k < l$ and $A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}_{kk} = u_{kk}(v+p)^{k-1}$. This implies that the $l \times l$ minor made out of row $1, \cdots, l-1, i$ (with $i \geq l$) and column $1, \cdots, l-1, l$ is equal to $t(v+p)^{(l-1)(l-2)/2} A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}_{il}$ with $t \in R^\times$. However for $i > l$, $A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}_{il} = vg$ where $g \in R[v]$ is a polynomial of degree $< l-1$. The uniqueness of a Weierstrass division by $(v+p)^{l(l-1)/2}$ thus implies that $-p(v+p)^{(l-1)(l-2)/2} g = 0$, and thus $g = 0$. The same argument shows $A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}_{ll} = u_{ll}(v+p)^{l-1}$. Having shown that $A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}$ is upper triangular, using that the $l \times l$ minors made out of row $1, \cdots, l$ and column $1, \cdots, l-1, k$ (with $k > l$) is divisible by $(v+p)^{l(l-1)/2}$ we have $(v+p)^l$ divides $A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}_{lk}$. □

Proposition 3.4.5. *Let $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ be the unique p-flat quotient of $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ such that* Spec $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}[1/p]$ *is the vanishing locus of the monodromy conditions as §5.1 of* [LLHLMa]. *Then, $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ is formally smooth.*

Proof of Theorem 3.4.1. We remark that by Proposition 3.4.4, the elementary divisors of any Kisin module associated to an $\mathcal{O}$-point of $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ are exactly $1, (v+p), \cdots, (v+p)^{n-1}$. One can then see from diagram (5.7) in [LLHLMa] that formal smoothness of $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ is equivalent to formal smoothness of $R^{\eta,\tau}_{\overline{\rho}}$ because the following facts:

- The addition of gauge basis is formally smooth operation;
- The tangent space map from deformations of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ to G_{K_∞}-deformations is injective;
- The tangent space map from framed G_K-deformations to framed G_{K_∞}-deformations is injective.

The first item is a consequence of Proposition 3.4.3, while the proof of the other two items in [LLHLMa] carry over verbatim.

The existence of a $\mathcal{O}$-lift of $\overline{\rho}$ of type τ and Hodge-Tate weight η implies $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ is non-zero. Thus it remains to show formal smoothness of $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$. To do so, we adapt notations from [LLHLMa] Section 5. In loc.cit., we gave a formula for the monodromy operator $N^{(j)}_\infty \in \frac{1}{\lambda^{n-2}} \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{rig}}_R)$ as an infinite sum. The monodromy condition defining $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ is the condition that $N^{(j)}_\infty$ has no poles along λ. The computation in [LLHLMa] Theorem 5.6 then expresses this condition as the condition

that the matrix $(A^{(j-1),\mathrm{univ},\dagger}+M^{(j)})(v+p)^{n-1}(A^{(j-1),\mathrm{univ}})^{-1}$ has vanishing derivatives at $v=-p$ up to order $n-3$, where

$$A^{(j-1),\mathrm{univ},\dagger}=-ev\frac{d}{dv}A^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}-A^{(j-1),\mathrm{univ}}\mathrm{Diag}(\mathbf{a}^{(j)}_{s_j(1)},\cdots,\mathbf{a}^{(j)}_{s_j(n)})$$

and $M^{(j)}$ is an explicit infinite sum. The genericity of τ implies that this can be written as the system of equations

$$(\frac{d}{dv})^k|_{v=-p}w_{j-1}A^{(j-1),\dagger}(v+p)^{n-1}(A^{(j-1)})^{-1}w_{j-1}^{-1}=O(p^{n+1})$$

where $O(p^{n+1})$ is in $p^{n+1}\mathrm{Mat}_n(R^{\tau,\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}})$. Combining Proposition 3.4.4 with Weierstrass factorization, this system of equation solves each coefficient of v^l in the polynomial $(w_jA^{(j),\mathrm{univ}}w_j^{-1})_{ik}$ in terms of the coefficient of v^k (which is the highest degree allowed) for $i>k$. This implies that there is a factorization $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\twoheadrightarrow R^{\mathrm{aux}}\twoheadrightarrow R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ with R^{aux} a formal power series ring of the same dimension as $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$. This forces the second map to actually be an isomorphism, thus $R^{\tau,\overline{\beta},\nabla}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$ is formally smooth. □

4. Main results

In this section, we use the results of §3 to deduce our main results. In §4.2, we deduce weight elimination in an axiomatic context and in the context of definite unitary groups. In §4.3, we use weight elimination and the change of weight techniques of [BLGGT14] to deduce modularity of obvious weights. In §4.4, we use the above results to solve the reduction problem for potentially crystalline representations of type (η, τ) where τ is a generic tame type. We introduce combinatorial results on Serre weights and affine Weyl groups as needed.

We write $\underline{W}_a^+ \subset \widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$ for the subsets of $\underline{W}_a \subset \widetilde{\underline{W}}$ which map $\underline{C}_0$ to a dominant alcove. Let $\underline{W}_{a,p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p\Lambda_R \rtimes W \subset \underline{W}_a$ and $\widetilde{\underline{W}}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} pX^*(\underline{T}) \rtimes W \subset \widetilde{\underline{W}}$. There are natural isomorphisms $\underline{W}_a \cong \underline{W}_{a,p}$ and $\widetilde{\underline{W}} \cong \widetilde{\underline{W}}_p$ arising from multiplication by p. By convention, the dot action of $\underline{W}_a$ and $\widetilde{\underline{W}}$ will always be through this multiplication by p isomorphism (cf. Definition 2.1.5).

The decomposition $\widetilde{\underline{W}} = \underline{W}_a \ltimes \Omega$, where $\Omega \subset \widetilde{\underline{W}}$ is the stabilizer of $\underline{C}_0$, gives a natural set theoretic section of $\underline{W}_a \subset \widetilde{\underline{W}}$. This section extends the Coxeter length function ℓ on $\underline{W}_a$ to $\widetilde{\underline{W}}$. Recall that one can calculate lengths from minimal galleries (cf. [HC02, §2]). We will use galleries in the dominant (or identity) direction (cf. [HC02, Definition 5.2]), which are necessarily minimal by [HC02, Lemma 5.3].

Recall the upper arrow ($\uparrow$) ordering on p-alcoves (cf. [Jan03, §II.6.5]): for $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}$, we write $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_2$ if $\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot \underline{C}_0 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_2 \cdot \underline{C}_0$ and $\underline{W}_a \widetilde{w_1} = \underline{W}_a \widetilde{w}_2$. We also use $\uparrow$ to denote strong linkage (cf. [Jan03, §II.6.3]). Recall from §2.1 the Bruhat ordering $\leq$ on $\underline{W}_a$. As with the upper ordering, we extend this to a partial ordering on $\widetilde{\underline{W}}$ by setting $\widetilde{w}_1\widetilde{w} \leq \widetilde{w}_2\widetilde{w}$ if $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{W}_a$, $\widetilde{w}_1 \leq \widetilde{w}_2$, and $\widetilde{w} \in \Omega$.

Let $\widetilde{w}_h = w_0 t_{-\eta} \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}$. Note that $\widetilde{w}_h \cdot \underline{C}_0$ is the highest p-restricted alcove and $\widetilde{w}_h \cdot \lambda = \mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ from Definition 2.2.10.

4.1. Combinatorics of weights and types.

In this section, we deduce the key combinatorial results, especially Corollary 4.1.7. We will use the following theorem of Wang (see [Wan87, Theorem 4.3]) without comment.

Theorem 4.1.1. *If $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$, then $\widetilde{w}_1 \leq \widetilde{w}_2$ if and only if $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_2$.*

We also use the following proposition without comment.

Proposition 4.1.2. *If $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}$, then $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_2$ if and only if $\widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_2 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1$ if and only if $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_1$.*

Proof. From the definition of the up ordering, it is clear that w_0 reverses and translation preserves the ordering. The proposition now follows from the definition of $\widetilde{w}_h$. □

Unless stated otherwise, we will assume that τ is a generic tame inertial type.

Proposition 4.1.3. *Suppose that $\sigma(\tau) = R_s(\mu + \eta)$ with μ $2n$-deep in $\underline{C}_0$ and λ is a p-restricted weight. Then $F(\lambda) \in \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$ if and only if there exists $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$ such that $\widetilde{w} \cdot (\mu - s\pi\nu) \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h \cdot \lambda$ where $\widetilde{w} = wt_\nu$.*

Proof. See [GHS, Proposition 10.1.7]. Note that by the depth assumption, the proof of [GHS, Proposition 10.1.2] based on [Jan81, Satz 4.3] applies. □

Let $\overline{\rho}$ be a generic semisimple Galois representation. For any tame type τ, define

$$W^?(\overline{\rho},\tau) := W^?(\overline{\rho}) \cap \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$$

where $W^?(\overline{\rho})$ is the predicted set of Serre weights (Definition 2.2.10).

Proposition 4.1.4. *Let $\tau = \tau(s,\mu)$ with $\mu - \eta \in \underline{C}_0$ and let $\widetilde{w} = \widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho},\tau)^*$. Let λ be a p-restricted character in alcove $\widetilde{w}_C \cdot \underline{C}_0$ with $\widetilde{w}_C \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}$. Then $F(\lambda) \in W^?(\overline{\rho},\tau)$ if and only if there exist $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$ and $w' \in W(\underline{G})$ such that $\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}\pi = \widetilde{w}_2^{-1}w'\widetilde{w}_1$, $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_C \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$, and λ is linked to $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot (\mu - s\pi\nu_2 - \eta)$ where $\widetilde{w}_2 = w_2 t_{\nu_2}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.2.14, if $\widetilde{w} = t_\nu w$, then $\overline{\rho}|_{I_K} = \tau(sw, \mu + s\nu)$. Recall that $F(\lambda) \in W^?(\overline{\rho})$ if and only if $F(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1} \cdot \lambda) \in \mathrm{JH}(R_{sw}(\mu + s\nu))$ since $\omega_h \cdot \lambda = \mathcal{R}(\lambda)$. By Proposition 4.1.3, $F(\lambda) \in \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$ (resp. $F(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1} \cdot \lambda) \in \mathrm{JH}(R_{sw}(\mu + s\nu))$) if and only if there exists a $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$ (resp. $\widetilde{w}_1 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$) such that $\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot (\mu - s\pi\nu_2 - \eta) \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h \cdot \lambda$ (resp. $\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot (\mu + s\nu - sw\pi\nu_1 - \eta) \uparrow \lambda$) where $\widetilde{w}_2 = w_2 t_{\nu_2}$ (resp. $\widetilde{w}_1 = w_1 t_{\nu_1}$). In summary, $F(\lambda) \in W^?(\overline{\rho},\tau)$ if and only if there exist $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$ such that

$$\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot (\mu + s\nu - sw\pi\nu_1 - \eta) \uparrow \lambda \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot (\mu - s\pi\nu_2 - \eta).$$

This is equivalent to the existence of $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$ such that $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_C \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$, λ is linked to $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot (\mu - s\pi\nu_2 - \eta)$, and $\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot (\mu + s\nu - sw\nu_1 - \eta)$ is linked to $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot (\mu - s\pi\nu_2 - \eta)$.

We claim that $\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot (\mu + s\nu - sw\pi\nu_1 - \eta)$ and $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot (\mu - s\pi\nu_2 - \eta)$ are linked if and only if $\widetilde{w}_2\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}\pi\widetilde{w}_1^{-1} \in W(\underline{G})$. Indeed, $\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot (\mu + s\nu - sw\pi\nu_1 - \eta)$ and $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot (\mu - s\pi\nu_2 - \eta)$ are linked if and only if $\nu + \pi\nu_2 = w\pi\nu_1$ if and only if $\widetilde{w}_2\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}\pi\widetilde{w}_1^{-1} = w_2 t_{\nu_2}\pi^{-1}t_\nu w\pi t_{-\nu_1} w_1^{-1} = w_2\pi^{-1}t_{\pi\nu_2+\nu-w\pi\nu_1} w\pi w_1^{-1} \in W(\underline{G})$. □

Lemma 4.1.5. *Suppose that $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$. Then $\ell(\widetilde{w}_2^{-1}w_0\widetilde{w}_1) = \ell(\widetilde{w}_2^{-1}) + \ell(w_0) + \ell(\widetilde{w}_1)$.*

Proof. The length $\ell(\widetilde{w})$ is the length of a minimal gallery between $\widetilde{w}_2^{-1}w_0\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot \underline{C}_0$ and $\underline{C}_0$, which is the length of a minimal gallery between $w_0\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot \underline{C}_0$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot \underline{C}_0$. Such a gallery can be taken to start with a gallery from $w_0\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot \underline{C}_0$ to $w_0 \cdot \underline{C}_0$, then to $\underline{C}_0$, then to $\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot \underline{C}_0$, all in the dominant direction. This decomposition of a minimal gallery in the dominant direction gives the desired equality. □

Lemma 4.1.6. *Suppose that $\lambda \in X_1(\underline{T})$ is sufficiently generic such that for all $s \in W(\underline{G})$ the inertial type $\tau(s,\lambda)$ is generic. Then if $\widetilde{w}(\tau(s,\lambda),\overline{\rho}) \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\eta)$ for all $s \in W(\underline{G})$, then $F(\lambda) \in W^?(\overline{\rho})$.*

Proof. Let $s \in W(\underline{G})$. Let $\widetilde{w} = \widetilde{w}(\tau(s,\lambda),\overline{\rho})^*$ so that $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}(\eta)$. Let $\lambda^{(0)} = \widetilde{w}_2^{-1}\widetilde{w}_h \cdot \lambda \in \underline{C}_0$ where $\widetilde{w}_2 = w_2 t_{\nu_2} \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}_a^+$ is the unique element such that $\lambda^{(0)} \in \underline{C}_0$. Then $\tau \cong \tau(s, \lambda^{(0)} + s\pi\nu_2 + \eta)$. By Corollary 3.2.4, $\overline{\rho}|_{I_K} = \tau(w, \mu + \eta)$ where

$$\widetilde{w} = s^{-1}t_{\mu-\lambda^{(0)}-s\pi\nu_2} w = s^{-1}t_{\delta-s\pi\nu_2} w = t_{-\pi\nu_2} s^{-1}t_\delta w \in \mathrm{Adm}(\eta)$$

and we let $\delta = \mu - \lambda^{(0)}$. Note, also, that $\delta - \eta \in \Lambda_R$.

Let $\sigma \in W(\underline{G})$ be the unique element such that $\sigma t_{w^{-1}(\delta)} \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$. To simplify notation, we will use $(\cdot)^\pi$ to denote $\pi(\cdot)\pi^{-1}$, i.e. conjugation by π. We now take $s = w\sigma^{-1}w_0w_2^\pi$, so that $\widetilde{w} = (t_{-\nu_2}w_2^{-1})^\pi w_0\sigma t_{w^{-1}\delta} = (\widetilde{w}_2^\pi)^{-1}w_0\sigma t_{w^{-1}(\delta)}$. Since $\sigma t_{w^{-1}(\delta)} \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$, we have that $w_0w_2^\pi\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$. By Corollary 4.4 of [HH], $\widetilde{w} \leq t_{(w_0w_2^\pi)^{-1}\eta}$.

Note that

$$t_{(w_0w_2^\pi)^{-1}\eta} = (w_0w_2^\pi)^{-1}t_\eta(w_0w_2^\pi) = (\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2^\pi)^{-1}\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}w_0(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2^\pi) = (\widetilde{w}_2^\pi)^{-1}w_0(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2^\pi).$$

Since $\ell(\widetilde{w}) = \ell((\widetilde{w}_2^\pi)^{-1}) + \ell(w_0) + \ell(\sigma t_{w^{-1}\delta})$ by Lemma 4.1.5, we conclude that $\sigma t_{w^{-1}(\delta)} \leq \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2^\pi$ by standard facts about Coxeter groups. Since both sides of this inequality are elements of $\widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$, we conclude that $\sigma t_{w^{-1}(\delta)} \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2^\pi$. Since λ is linked to $\lambda^{(0)}$, $F(\lambda) \subset W^?(\overline{\rho},\tau) \subset W^?(\overline{\rho})$ by Proposition 4.1.4. □

Corollary 4.1.7. *If $F(\lambda) \notin W^?(\overline{\rho})$ with λ sufficiently generic as in Lemma 4.1.6, then there exists a tame $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$-type $\sigma(\tau)$ such that $F(\lambda) \in \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$ and $\overline{\rho}$ is not the reduction of a potentially crystalline representation of type (η,τ).*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1.6, there exists $s \in W(\underline{G})$ such that $\widetilde{w}(\tau(s,\lambda),\overline{\rho}) \notin \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\eta)$. Then by Theorem 3.2.2, $\overline{\rho}$ does not appear as the reduction of a representation of type $(\eta,\tau(s,\lambda))$. □

4.2. **Weight elimination.**

4.2.1. *Axiomatic setup.* We begin with an axiomatic setup for modular Serre weights. This is related to the axiomatic setup of [EGH13, §4.2]. Let $\overline{\rho}: G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be a Galois representation.

Definition 4.2.1. We say that a functor $S: \mathbb{F}[\mathrm{GL}_n(k)]\text{-rep} \to \mathrm{Vect}_{/\mathbb{F}}$ is an *arithmetic cohomology functor for $\overline{\rho}$* if whenever $S(V) \neq 0$ for a Serre weight V and $V \in \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau) \otimes \overline{W}(\lambda))$ for a regular tame inertial type τ and λ a dominant weight, $\overline{\rho}$ has a potentially crystalline lift of type $(\eta+\lambda,\tau)$.

We now fix an arithmetic cohomology functor S for $\overline{\rho}$.

Definition 4.2.2. We say that $\overline{\rho}$ is *modular of weight V* if $S(V) \neq 0$. Let $W(\overline{\rho})$ be the set of isomorphism classes of Serre weights for which $\overline{\rho}$ is modular.

Theorem 4.2.3. *If $\overline{\rho}$ is generic, then $W(\overline{\rho}) \subset W^?(\overline{\rho}^{\mathrm{ss}})$.*

Proof. Suppose that $F(\lambda) \notin W^?(\overline{\rho})$. First assume that λ is sufficiently generic as in Lemma 4.1.6. Then by Corollary 4.1.7, there exists a tame $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$-type $\sigma(\tau)$ such that $F(\lambda) \in \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$ and $\overline{\rho}$ is not the reduction of any potentially crystalline representation of type (η,τ). By Definition 4.2.1, $S(F(\lambda)) = 0$, and thus $F(\lambda) \notin W(\overline{\rho})$.

Next, assume there exists a regular but non-generic type τ such that $F(\lambda) \in \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$. By Proposition 3.3.1, since $\overline{\rho}$ is generic, $\overline{\rho}$ is not the reduction of a potentially crystalline representation of type (η,τ). Hence, $F(\lambda) \notin W(\overline{\rho})$.

Finally, we want to eliminate $F(\lambda)$ which are not Jordan–Hölder factors of any regular type τ (for example, the Steinberg representation). In this case, for some regular non-generic tame type τ,

$F(\lambda) \in \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau) \otimes \overline{W}(\eta))$. The same argument as in Proposition 3.3.1 with η replaces by 2η shows that $\overline{\rho}$ is not the reduction of any potentially crystalline representation of type $(2\eta, \tau)$. Hence, $F(\lambda) \notin W(\overline{\rho})$. □

4.2.2. *Algebraic modular forms for unitary groups.* We closely follow the setup of [EGH13, §7.1]. Let $F/\mathbb{Q}$ be a CM field and F^+ its maximal totally real subfield. Assume that $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$, and that all places of F^+ dividing p are unramified and split in F. We write c for the generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(F/F^+)$. For $u \nmid \infty$ (resp. $v \nmid \infty$) a place of F (resp. F^+) we denote by k_u (resp. k_v) the residue field of F_u (resp. F_v^+).

We let $G_{/F^+}$ be a reductive group, which is an outer form of GL_n which splits over F. We assume that $G(F_v^+) \cong U_n(\mathbb{R})$ for all $v|\infty$. By the argument of [CHT08], §3.3, G admits a model $\mathcal{G}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F^+}$ such that $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{O}_{F_v^+}$ is reductive for all places v of F^+ that split in F. For any such place v of F^+ and $u|v$ of F we get an isomorphism $\iota_u : G(F_v^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_n(F_u)$ which restricts moreover to an isomorphism $\iota_u : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_u})$.

Let $F_p^+ \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} F^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}_{F^+} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $U^p \leq G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty,p})$ is a compact open subgroup, the $\mathbb{F}[[\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p}]]$-module $S(U^p)$ of infinite level algebraic automorphic forms on G is defined to be the set of continuous functions $f : G(F^+)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty})/U^p \to \mathbb{F}$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is given the discrete topology.

We recall that the level U is said to be *sufficiently small* if for all $t \in G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty})$, the finite group $t^{-1}G(F^+)t \cap U$ is of order prime to p. We say that U^p is *sufficiently small* if $U = U^p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})$ is sufficiently small. For a finite place v of F^+ we say that U is *unramified* at v if one has a decomposition $U = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})U^v$ for some compact open subgroup $U^v \leq G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty,v})$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_U$ denote the set consisting of finite places u of F such that $v \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} u|_{F^+}$ is split in F, $v \nmid p$ and U is unramified at v. If $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_U$ is a subset of finite complement that is closed under complex conjugation, we write $\mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{O}_E[T_u^{(i)} : u \in \mathcal{P},\ i \in \{0,1,2,3\}]$ for the abstract Hecke algebra on $\mathcal{P}$, where the Hecke operator $T_u^{(i)}$ acts on the space S as the usual double coset operator

$$\iota_u^{-1}\left[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_u})\begin{pmatrix}\varpi_u\mathrm{Id}_i & \\ & \mathrm{Id}_{3-i}\end{pmatrix}\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_u})\right],$$

where ϖ_u denotes a uniformizer of F_u.

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_U$ be a cofinite set. Let $\overline{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous, absolutely irreducible Galois representation, and let $\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{P}}$ with residue field $\mathbb{F}$ defined by the formula

$$\det\left(1 - \overline{r}^{\vee}(\mathrm{Frob}_u)X\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{3}(-1)^j p^{\binom{j}{2}}(T_u^{(j)} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}})X^j \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Definition 4.2.4. We say that $\overline{r}$ is *modular* of (prime-to-p) level U^p if $S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}} \neq 0$. We say $\overline{r}$ is modular if $\overline{r}$ is modular of some level U^p.

Assume that $\overline{r}$ is modular of level U^p. Fix places $u|v|p$ of F and F^+. Then we define the functor $S : \mathbb{F}[\mathrm{GL}_n(k_u)]\text{-rep} \to \mathrm{Vect}_{/\mathbb{F}}$ by $S(V) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_u})}(V^{\vee}, S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}})$, where $(\cdot)^{\vee}$ denotes the contragredient representation and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_u})$ acts on V by inflation and on $S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}$ via ι_u^{-1}.

Proposition 4.2.5. *If U^p is sufficiently small, then S is an arithmetic cohomology functor for $\overline{r}|_{G_{F_u}}$.*

Proof. This essentially follows from the proof of Proposition 7.4.4 of [EGH13]. Suppose $S(V) \neq 0$ and $V \in \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau) \otimes \overline{W}(\lambda))$. For each place $v'|p$ of F^+, choose a place $\widetilde{v}'|v'$ of F such that $\widetilde{v} = u$. For an $\mathcal{O}_E[[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F,\widetilde{v}'})]]$-representation $V_{\widetilde{v}'}$, let $V_{v'}$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{O}_E[[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,v'})]]$-representation via $\iota_{\widetilde{v}}$. There exist irreducible $\mathbb{F}[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F,\widetilde{v}'})]$-representations $V_{v'}$ for every $v'|p$ such that $V_{\widetilde{v}} = V$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\otimes_{v'|p} V_{v'}^\vee, S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0$. Choose a locally algebraic $\mathcal{O}_E[[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})]]$-representation $W = \otimes_{v'|p} W_{v'}$ such that $W_v \cong \sigma(\tau) \otimes W(\lambda)$ and $V_{\widetilde{v}'} \in \mathrm{JH}(\overline{W}_{\widetilde{v}'})$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\overline{W}^\vee, S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0$ (with $\overline{W}$ the reduction of any lattice). Then the proof of [EGH13, Proposition 7.4.4 Ã1] holds without modification and one then constructs π as in the proof of *loc. cit.* Then $r_\pi^\vee|_{G_{F_u}}$ provides the required lift by [EGH13, Theorem 7.2.1] and Proposition 2.3.4. □

Assume that $\overline{r}$ is modular. Then $\overline{r}$ is modular of sufficiently small level U^p. Let $W(\overline{r})$ be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})$-representations V over $\mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(V, S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0.$$

For each place $v|p$ of F^+ choose a place $\widetilde{v}|v$ of F. Let $W^?_{\widetilde{v}}(\overline{r}) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} W^?(\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}})$ and $W^?_v(\overline{r})$ the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$-representations over $\mathbb{F}$ corresponding to $W^?_{\widetilde{v}}(\overline{r})$ via $\iota_{\widetilde{v}}$. Note that the definition of $W^?_v(\overline{r})$ does not depend on the choice of place $\widetilde{v}$. Let $W^?(\overline{r})$ be $\underset{v|p}{\otimes} W^?_v(\overline{r})$.

Corollary 4.2.6. *We have $W(\overline{r}) \subset W^?(\overline{r})$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\otimes_{v|p} F(\lambda_v), S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0$. For each place $v|p$ of F^+, if $F(\lambda_{\widetilde{v}})$ corresponds to $F(\lambda)$ via $\iota_{\widetilde{v}}$, then $S(F(\lambda_{\widetilde{v}})) \neq 0$ by definition, and so $F(\lambda_{\widetilde{v}}) \in W(\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}})$. By Theorem 4.2.3, $F(\lambda_{\widetilde{v}}) \in W^?(\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}})$. Thus $\otimes_{v|p} F(\lambda_v) \in W^?(\overline{r})$. □

4.3. **Modularity of "obvious" Serre weights.**

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let $p > 2$ and F be a CM field with maximal totally real subfield F^+ such that $\zeta_p \notin F$. Assume that F/F^+ is split at all places dividing p. Suppose that $\overline{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is an irreducible representation with the following additional properties.*

(1) There is a RACSDC automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that
- *$\overline{r} \cong \overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\Pi)$; and*
- *For each place $u|p$ of F, $r_{p,\iota}(\Pi)|_{G_{F_u}}$ is potentially diagonalizable.*

(2) The image of $\overline{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate.

Let S be a finite set of places of F^+ which split in F. Assume that S contains all the places of F^+ dividing p and all the places of F^+ divisible by places at which $\overline{r}$ ramifies. For each place $v \in S$, choose a place $\widetilde{v}|v$ of F and a lift $\rho_{\widetilde{v}} : G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}} \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$. Suppose that for $v|p$, $\rho_{\widetilde{v}}$ is potentially crystalline and potentially diagonalizable with distinct Hodge–Tate weights for every embedding $F_{\widetilde{v}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

Then there is a RACSDC automorphic representation π such that

- $\overline{r} \cong \overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi)$;
- π_u *is unramified at all places* u *of* F *that do not divide a place in* S; *and*
- $r_{p,\iota(\pi)}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}} \sim \rho_{\widetilde{v}}$ *for all places* $v \in S$.

Proof. This is [BLGG, Theorem 3.1.3] except that for places $v|p$ of F^+, $\overline{\rho}_{\widetilde{v}}$ allowed to be potentially crystalline rather than crystalline. The proof of [BLGG, Theorem 3.1.3] applies verbatim except that π_u is not necessarily unramified in $u|p$ is a place of F. □

Lemma 4.3.2. *Let* $\widetilde{w} \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}$ *and let* $w \in W(\underline{G})$, $\widetilde{w}^+ \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$ *be the unique elements such that* $\widetilde{w} = w\widetilde{w}^+$. *Then* $\widetilde{w}^+ \leq \widetilde{w}$.

Proof. The length $\ell(w\widetilde{w}^+)$ is the length of a minimal gallery from $\underline{C}_0$ to $w\widetilde{w}^+ \cdot \underline{C}_0$, which is the length of a minimal gallery from $w^{-1} \cdot \underline{C}_0$ to $\widetilde{w}^+ \cdot \underline{C}_0$. A minimal gallery can be taken through $\underline{C}_0$ in the dominant direction. Hence $\ell(w\widetilde{w}^+) = \ell(w) + \ell(\widetilde{w}^+)$, and therefore $\widetilde{w}^+ \leq \widetilde{w}$. □

Proposition 4.3.3. *If* $\widetilde{w}_2 \uparrow \widetilde{w_1}$ *and* $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$, *then* $\widetilde{w}_2 \leq \widetilde{w}_1$.

Proof. Let $w \in W$ be the unique element such that $w\widetilde{w}_1 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$. Then $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow w\widetilde{w}_1$ by II 6.5(5) of [Jan03]. Then $\widetilde{w}_2 \leq w\widetilde{w}_1$ by Theorem 4.1.1. Since $w\widetilde{w}_1 \leq \widetilde{w}_1$ by Lemma 4.3.2, $\widetilde{w}_2 \leq \widetilde{w}_1$. □

Proposition 4.3.4. *Suppose that* $\overline{\rho}$ *is a semisimple generic Galois representation and* τ *is the type such that* $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho}, \tau)^* = t_{\pi w^{-1}\eta}$. *Then* $W^?(\overline{\rho}, \tau) = \{F(\lambda)\}$, *where* $F(\lambda) \in W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho})$ *is the obvious weight corresponding to* w (*Definition 2.2.13*).

Proof. Let $\widetilde{w} = \widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho}, \tau)^* = t_{\pi w^{-1}\eta}$. Suppose that $\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}\pi = t_{w^{-1}\eta} = \widetilde{w}_2^{-1} w' \widetilde{w}_1$ where $w' \in W(\underline{G})$, $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$, and $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$. Then either $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w_2}$ or $\widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1$ are in $\underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$. If $\widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$, then

$$\ell(t_{w^{-1}\eta}) \leq \ell(\widetilde{w}_2^{-1}) + \ell(w') + \ell(\widetilde{w}_1) \leq \ell((\widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1)^{-1}) + \ell(w_0) + \ell(\widetilde{w}_1) = \ell((\widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1)^{-1} w_0 \widetilde{w}_1) = \ell(t_{w_1^{-1}\eta}),$$

where $w_1 \in W(\underline{G})$ is the projection of $\widetilde{w}_1$. The first inequality is obvious, while the third equality follows from Lemma 4.1.5. For the second inequality, since $w' \leq w_0$, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{w}_2 \leq \widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1$. Since $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$, we have that $\widetilde{w}_2 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1$. Since $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$, we have that $\widetilde{w}_2 \leq \widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1$ by Proposition 4.3.3. Since $\ell(t_{w^{-1}\eta}) = \ell(t_{w_1^{-1}\eta})$, $w' = w_0$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 = \widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1$ are both in $\underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$, and therefore $w = w_1$. By the same argument, if instead $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$, we also have $w' = w_0$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 = \widetilde{w}_h\widetilde{w}_1$ are both in $\underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$. Now suppose that $F(\lambda) \in W^?(\overline{\rho}, \tau)$. Then by Propostion 4.1.4, $\lambda = \widetilde{w}_1 \cdot (\mu - s\pi\nu_1)$ where we write $\widetilde{w}_1 = w_1 t_{\nu_1}$ and $\overline{\rho}|_{I_K} = \tau(s, \mu + \eta)$. This is exactly the obvious weight corresponding to $w = w_1$ (Definition 2.2.13). □

If $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho}, \tau)^* = t_{\pi w^{-1}\eta}$ for some $w \in W(\underline{G})$, we say that τ is the obvious type for $\overline{\rho}$ corresponding to w.

Let $\overline{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be a modular Galois representation. For each place $v|p$ of F^+ choose a place $\widetilde{v}|v$ of F. Let $W_{\mathrm{obv},\widetilde{v}}(\overline{r}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}})$. Let $W_{\mathrm{obv},v}(\overline{r})$ be the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$-representations over $\mathbb{F}$ corresponding to $W_{\mathrm{obv},\widetilde{v}}(\overline{r})$. Note that the definition of $W_{\mathrm{obv},v}(\overline{r})$ does not depend on the choice of place $\widetilde{v}$. Let $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r})$ be $\underset{v|p}{\otimes} W_{\mathrm{obv},v}(\overline{r})$.

Theorem 4.3.5. *Suppose that $\zeta_p \notin F$ and that $\overline{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is a modular Galois representation such that $\overline{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate. Assume that for all $\widetilde{v} \mid p$, $\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ is semisimple and generic and that $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r}) \cap W(\overline{r}) \neq \emptyset$. Then $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r}) \subset W(\overline{r})$.*

Proof. For each place $v|p$ of F^+, choose a place $\widetilde{v}|v$ of F. Suppose that $\otimes_{v|p} F(\lambda_v) \in W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r}) \cap W(\overline{r})$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\otimes_{v|p} F(\lambda_v), S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0$. Suppose that $F(\lambda_v)$ corresponds to $F(\lambda_{\widetilde{v}}) \in W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}})$ via $\iota_{\widetilde{v}}$. Suppose that $F(\lambda_{\widetilde{v}}) \in W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}})$ (resp. $\tau_{\widetilde{v}}$) is the obvious weight (resp. the obvious type) for $\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ corresponding to w_v. Let $\sigma(\tau_v)$ be the $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$-representation corresponding to $\sigma(\tau_{\widetilde{v}})$ via $\iota_{\widetilde{v}}$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\otimes_{v|p} F(\lambda_v), S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\otimes_{v|p} \overline{\sigma}(\tau_v), S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0$. A nonzero element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\otimes_{v|p} \overline{\sigma}(\tau_v), S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0$ gives an automorphic lift $r_{p,\iota}(\Pi)$ of $\overline{r}$ of type $(\eta, \tau_{\widetilde{v}})$ at $\widetilde{v}$. Thus $r_{p,\iota}(\Pi)|_{G_{F_u}}$ is potentially diagonalizable for each place $u|p$ of F by Corollary 3.4.2. We see that $\overline{r}$ satisfies the enumerated hypotheses of Theorem 4.3.1.

Suppose now that $\otimes_{v|p} F(\lambda_v) \in W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r})$ is arbitrary and let $F(\lambda_{\widetilde{v}})$, $\tau_{\widetilde{v}}$, $\sigma(\tau_{\widetilde{v}})$, and $\sigma(\tau_v)$ be as in the last paragraph. For each place $v|p$ of F^+, let $\rho_{\widetilde{v}}$ be a potentially crystalline lift of $\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ of type $(\eta, \tau_{\widetilde{v}})$. Then $\rho_{\widetilde{v}}$ is potentially diagonalizable by 3.4.2. For each place $v \nmid p$ in S, let $\rho_{\widetilde{v}} = r_{p,\iota}(\Pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$. By Theorem 4.3.1, there is an automorphic lift $r_{p,\iota}(\pi)$ of $\overline{r}$ of type $(\eta, \tau_{\widetilde{v}})$ at $\widetilde{v}$ and prime-to-p level containing U^p. Thus $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\otimes_{v|p} \overline{\sigma}(\tau_v), S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0$. By Corollary 4.2.6 and Proposition 4.3.4, we conclude that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\otimes_{v|p} F(\lambda_v), S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0$. Thus $\otimes_{v|p} F(\lambda_v) \in W(\overline{r})$. □

Remark 4.3.6. In [BLGG], it is shown that if $\overline{r}$ is modular of a Fontaine-Laffaille weight, $\otimes_{v|p} F(\lambda_v) \in W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r})$ and p splits completely in F, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,p})}(\otimes_{v|p} \overline{W}(\lambda_v), S(U^p)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{r}}}) \neq 0,$$

which is strictly weaker than Theorem 4.3.5.

In §6 of [GG12], it is shown that if $\overline{r}$ is assumed to modular and ordinary at p, then $\overline{r}$ is modular of all ordinary obvious weights (this is all obvious weights if p-splits completely but is strictly smaller otherwise). In *loc. cit.*, $\overline{\rho}$ is no longer assumed to be semisimple above p.

4.4. **An application to a local reduction problem.**

Proposition 4.4.1. *The set $W^?(\overline{\rho}, \tau)$ is nonempty if and only if the set $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho}) \cap \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$ is nonempty.*

Proof. The "if" part of the claim is clear. Suppose that $W^?(\overline{\rho}, \tau)$ is nonempty. Let $\widetilde{w} = \widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho}, \tau)^*$. By Proposition 4.1.4, $\pi^{-1} \widetilde{w} \pi = \widetilde{w}_2^{-1} w' \widetilde{w}_1$ where $w' \in W$, $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$, and $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1} \widetilde{w}_2$. If $\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot \underline{C}_0$ is p-restricted, then $W^?(\overline{\rho}, \tau)$ contains an obvious weight in alcove $\widetilde{w}_1 \cdot \underline{C}_0$ by Proposition 4.1.4, and we are done. Assume otherwise, so that $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1} \widetilde{w}_2 \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$, and therefore $\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot \underline{C}_0$ is p-restricted. Let ω be a (dominant) weight (unique up to weights whose restrictions to the derived group are trivial) such that $t_{-\omega} \widetilde{w}_1 \cdot (\underline{C}_0)$ is p-restricted. Then $\pi^{-1} \widetilde{w} \pi = (t_{-w'\omega} \widetilde{w}_2)^{-1} w' (t_{-\omega} \widetilde{w}_1)$. Let $t_{-w'\omega} \widetilde{w}_2 = w^{-1} \widetilde{w}_3$ where $w \in W$ and $\widetilde{w}_3 \in \widetilde{\underline{W}}^+$. It suffices to show that $t_{-\omega} \widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1} \widetilde{w}_3$, since then $W^?(\overline{\rho}, \tau)$ would contain an obvious weight in alcove $t_{-\omega} \widetilde{w}_1 \cdot (\underline{C}_0)$ by Proposition 4.1.4. Using that $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1} \widetilde{w}_2$, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1} \widetilde{w}_2 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1} t_{w_0 \omega} \widetilde{w}_3$, or equivalently that $t_{w_0 \omega} \widetilde{w}_3 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_2$. Now

$t_{w_0\omega}\widetilde{w}_3 = t_{w_0\omega - ww'\omega}w\widetilde{w}_2$ by definition. Note that $w_0\omega - ww'\omega$ is a sum of negative roots. Then $t_{w_0\omega-ww'\omega}w\widetilde{w}_2 \uparrow w\widetilde{w}_2 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_2$ by II 6.5(3) and (5) of [Jan03]. □

Proposition 4.4.2. *Let τ be a generic tame type. Let $\overline{\rho}$ be a Galois representation and let $\widetilde{w}$ be $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho},\tau)^*$. Then $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}(\eta)$ if and only if $W^?(\overline{\rho},\tau)$ is nonempty.*

Proof. Suppose that $W^?(\overline{\rho},\tau)$ is nonempty. By Proposition 4.1.4, $\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}\pi = \widetilde{w}_2^{-1}w'\widetilde{w}_1$ where $w' \in W$, $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$, and $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$. By the proof of Proposition 4.4.1 applied to $\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}^{-1}\pi = \widetilde{w}_1^{-1}w'^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$, we can assume without loss of generality that $\widetilde{w}_2$ is p-restricted. It suffices to show that $\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}\pi \le t_{w_2^{-1}w_0\eta}$. Note that

$$t_{w_2^{-1}w_0\eta} = \widetilde{w}_2^{-1}\widetilde{w}_h t_\eta \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 = \widetilde{w}_2^{-1}w_0(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2),$$

and that $\ell(\widetilde{w}_2^{-1}w_0(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2)) = \ell(\widetilde{w}_2^{-1}) + \ell(w_0) + \ell(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2)$ by Lemma 4.1.5. Then since $w' \le w_0$ and $\widetilde{w}_1 \le \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$ since $\widetilde{w}_1 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$, we have that $\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}\pi \le t_{w_2^{-1}w_0\eta}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{Adm}(\eta)$. Then there exists $w_2 \in W$ such that $\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}\pi \le t_{w_2^{-1}w_0\eta}$. Let $\widetilde{w}_2 \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$ be such that $\widetilde{w}_2$ has projection $w_2 \in W$ and $\widetilde{w}_2 \cdot (\underline{C}_0)$ is p-restricted. (Such elements differ by weights whose restrictions to the derived group are trivial.) Since $t_{w_2^{-1}w_0\eta} = \widetilde{w}_2^{-1}w_0(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2)$ and $\ell(\widetilde{w}_2^{-1}w_0(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2)) = \ell(\widetilde{w}_2^{-1}) + \ell(w_0) + \ell(\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2)$ as in the last paragraph, $\pi^{-1}\widetilde{w}\pi = (\widetilde{w}_2')^{-1}w'\widetilde{w}_1'$ where $\widetilde{w}_2' \le \widetilde{w}_2$, $\widetilde{w}_1' \le \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$ and $w' \le w_0$. In particular, $w' \in W(\underline{G})$. If w_1 and $w_2 \in W(\underline{G})$ and $\widetilde{w}_1^+$ and $\widetilde{w}_2^+ \in \underline{\widetilde{W}}^+$ are the unique elements such that $\widetilde{w}_2' = w_2\widetilde{w}_2^+$ and $\widetilde{w}_1' = w_1\widetilde{w}_1^+$, then $\widetilde{w}_2^+ \le \widetilde{w}_2' \le \widetilde{w}_2$ and $\widetilde{w}_1^+ \le \widetilde{w}_1' \le \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$ by Lemma 4.3.2. Thus $\widetilde{w}_2^+ \uparrow \widetilde{w}_2$ and $\widetilde{w}_1^+ \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$. Letting $w'' = w_2^{-1}w'w_1$, we get that $\widetilde{w} = (\widetilde{w}_2^+)^{-1}w''\widetilde{w}_1^+$. Since $\widetilde{w}_1^+ \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2$ and $\widetilde{w}_2^+ \uparrow \widetilde{w}_2$, or equivalently $\widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2 \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2^+$, we have that $\widetilde{w}_1^+ \uparrow \widetilde{w}_h^{-1}\widetilde{w}_2^+$. By Proposition 4.1.4, $W^?(\overline{\rho},\tau)$ is nonempty. □

Theorem 4.4.3. *A semisimple Galois representation $\overline{\rho}$ has a lift of type τ if and only if $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho},\tau) \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\eta)$.*

Proof. The "only if" direction follows from Theorem 3.2.2. Let $\overline{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be a suitable globalization of $\overline{\rho}$ as constructed by [EG14, Corollary A.7]. Note that by construction, $\overline{r} \cong \overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\Pi)$ where $r_{p,\iota}(\Pi)|_{G_{F_u}}$ is potentially diagonalizable for places $u|p$ of F and Π is a RACSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which is unramified away from p. Let S be the set of places $v|p$ of F^+. Suppose that $\overline{r}$ is modular of level U^p, where U^p is sufficiently small. Define the arithmetic cohomology functor S as in §4.2.2. As usual, for each place $v \in S$, choose a place $\widetilde{v}|v$ of F. If $\otimes_{v|p}F(\lambda_v) \in W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r})$ is in the lowest alcove, then for each $v|p$, choose a Fontaine–Laffaille lift $\rho_{\widetilde{v}}$ of weight $\lambda_{\widetilde{v}}$. By Theorem 4.3.1, $\otimes_{v|p}F(\lambda_v) \in W(\overline{r})$ since Fontaine–Laffaille representations are potentially diagonalizable.

By Theorem 4.3.5, $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{r}) \subset W(\overline{r})$, and thus $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho}) \subset W(\overline{\rho})$. Suppose that $\widetilde{w}(\overline{\rho},\tau) \in \mathrm{Adm}^\vee(\eta)$. By Propositions 4.4.1 and 4.4.2, $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho}) \cap \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$ is nonempty. Hence, the nonemptiness of $W_{\mathrm{obv}}(\overline{\rho}) \cap \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$ implies that $W(\overline{\rho}) \cap \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\sigma}(\tau))$ is nonempty. This implies that $\overline{\rho}$ has potentially crystalline lift of type τ by Proposition 4.2.5. □

References

[ADP02] Avner Ash, Darrin Doud, and David Pollack, *Galois representations with conjectural connections to arithmetic cohomology*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), no. 3, 521–579. MR 1896473 (2003g:11055)

[BDJ10] Kevin Buzzard, Fred Diamond, and Frazer Jarvis, *On Serre's conjecture for mod ℓ Galois representations over totally real fields*, Duke Math. J. **155** (2010), no. 1, 105–161. MR 2730374 (2012k:11067)

[BLGG] Thomas Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, and David Geraghty, *Serre weights for U(n)*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (to appear), 2014.

[BLGGT14] Thomas Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, and Richard Taylor, *Potential automorphy and change of weight*, Ann. of Math. (2) **179** (2014), no. 2, 501–609. MR 3152941

[CEG$^+$] Ana Caraiani, Matthew Emerton, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, Vytautas Paskunas, and Sug Woo Shin, *Patching and p-adic local Langlands*, Cambridge Journal of Math. (to appear), 2013, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.0831`.

[CHT08] Laurent Clozel, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor, *Automorphy for some l-adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2008), no. 108, 1–181, With Appendix A, summarizing unpublished work of Russ Mann, and Appendix B by Marie-France Vignéras. MR 2470687 (2010j:11082)

[CL] Ana Caraiani and Brandon Levin, *Moduli of Kisin modules with descent data and parahoric local models*, `http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~bwlevin/CLparahoric.pdf`, preprint (2015).

[EG14] Matthew Emerton and Toby Gee, *A geometric perspective on the Breuil-Mézard conjecture*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **13** (2014), no. 1, 183–223. MR 3134019

[EGH13] Matthew Emerton, Toby Gee, and Florian Herzig, *Weight cycling and Serre-type conjectures for unitary groups*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 9, 1649–1722. MR 3079258

[Gao] Hui Gao, *Crystalline liftings and weight part of Serre's conjecture*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.01233`, preprint (2015).

[GG12] Toby Gee and David Geraghty, *Companion forms for unitary and symplectic groups*, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), no. 2, 247–303. MR 2876931

[GHS] Toby Gee, Florian Herzig, and David Savitt, *General Serre weight conjectures*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.02527`, preprint (2015).

[GK14] Toby Gee and Mark Kisin, *The Breuil-Mézard conjecture for potentially Barsotti-Tate representations*, Forum Math. Pi **2** (2014), e1, 56. MR 3292675

[GLS14] Toby Gee, Tong Liu, and David Savitt, *The Buzzard-Diamond-Jarvis conjecture for unitary groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2014), no. 2, 389–435. MR 3164985

[GLS15] ———, *The weight part of Serre's conjecture for* GL(2), Forum Math. Pi **3** (2015), e2, 52. MR 3324938

[HC02] Thomas J. Haines and Ngô Bao Châu, *Alcoves associated to special fibers of local models*, Amer. J. Math. **124** (2002), no. 6, 1125–1152. MR 1939783

[Her09] Florian Herzig, *The weight in a Serre-type conjecture for tame n-dimensional Galois representations*, Duke Math. J. **149** (2009), no. 1, 37–116. MR 2541127 (2010f:11083)

[HH] Thomas Haines and Xuhua He, *Vertexwise criterion for admissibility of alcoves*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.5450`, preprint (2014).

[HLM] Florian Herzig, Daniel Le, and Stefano Morra, *On mod p local-global compatibility for* GL_3 *in the ordinary case*, In preparation (2015).

[HT01] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 151, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001, With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich. MR 1876802 (2002m:11050)

[Jan81] Jens Carsten Jantzen, *Zur Reduktion modulo p der Charaktere von Deligne und Lusztig*, J. Algebra **70** (1981), no. 2, 452–474. MR 623819

[Jan03] ———, *Representations of algebraic groups*, second ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 107, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR 2015057 (2004h:20061)

[Kis06] Mark Kisin, *Crystalline representations and F-crystals*, Algebraic geometry and number theory, Progr. Math., vol. 253, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 459–496. MR 2263197 (2007j:11163)

[Kis09] ———, *Modularity of 2-adic Barsotti-Tate representations*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), no. 3, 587–634. MR 2551765 (2010k:11089)

[LLHLMa] Daniel Le, Bao Viet Le Hung, Brandon Levin, and Stefano Morra, *Potentially crystalline deformation rings: Shapes and shadows*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.06380`, preprint (2015).

[LLHLMb] ———, *Serre weights and Breuil's lattice conjecture in dimension three*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.06570`, preprint (2016).

[LLHLMc] ———, *Serre weights for three-dimensional wildly ramified representations*, in preparation,, (2016).

[LMP] Daniel Le, Stefano Morra, and Chol Park, *On mod p local-global compatibility for* $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ *in the non-ordinary case*, preprint, (2015).

[MP] Stefano Morra and Chol Park, *Serre weights for three dimensional ordinary Galois representations*, preprint, (2014).

[New14] James Newton, *Serre weights and Shimura curves*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **108** (2014), no. 6, 1471–1500. MR 3218316

[PZ13] George Pappas and Xinwen Zhu, *Local models of Shimura varieties and a conjecture of Kottwitz*, Invent. Math. **194** (2013), no. 1, 147–254. MR 3103258

[Ser87] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Sur les représentations modulaires de degré* 2 *de* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), no. 1, 179–230. MR 885783 (88g:11022)

[Wan87] Jian Pan Wang, *Partial orderings on affine Weyl groups*, J. East China Norm. Univ. Natur. Sci. Ed. (1987), no. 4, 15–25. MR 980127

[Zhu14] Xinwen Zhu, *On the coherence conjecture of Pappas and Rapoport*, Ann. of Math. (2) **180** (2014), no. 1, 1–85. MR 3194811

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, 40 St. George Street, Toronto, ON M5S 2E4, Canada

E-mail address: `le@math.toronto.edu`

Department of Mathematics, The University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

E-mail address: `lhvietbao@googlemail.com`

Department of Mathematics, The University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

E-mail address: `bwlevin@math.uchicago.edu`